

The regular meeting of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board held it's meeting in the County Commissioners Room in the Tippecanoe County Court House at 9:00 a.m., on Wednesday, February 7, 1973. Present at the meeting were: Bruce Osborn, Robert Fields, Edward Shaw, Dan Ruth, Fred Hoffman and Gladys Ridder.

Minutes Approved

Upon motion by Edward Shaw, seconded by Bruce Osborn and made unanimous by Robert Fields the minutes of the January 3rd, 1973 meeting be approved as read.

Ditches
Referred to
Engineer

Several Ditches were referred to the Engineer to set up for a maintenance fund. The Alexander Ross ditch in Fairfield and Wea Twps, the Martin V. Erwin Ditch, in Shelby Twp., James Sheets ditch in Tippecanoe Twp. the County Farm Ditch in Tipp. and Wabash Twps. and the Joseph Sterrett ditch in Tippecanoe Twp.

Abe Smith
Ditch Maintenance
Hearing
9:30a.m.

The Engineer opened the hearing on the Abe Smith ditch located in Perry Twp. His report and recommendations were as follows:

MAINTENANCE REPORT
ABE SMITH LEGAL DRAIN

After spending considerable time on the site of the ditch, and discussing the ditch with a number of the property owners in the area it is the judgement of the Surveyor that a maintenance fund should be established on this ditch with the following provisions.

The Drainage Board should classify that portion of the main ditch west of road 850E and open ditch and extend the reconstructed branch to it. This could be accomplished over a period of years with maintenance funds as they became available.

This ditch consists of 5439 Feet of main line tile and approximately 1000 feet of branch at the present time there is no open tile on this ditch.

The area served by this ditch is 306.68 acres and will bring in \$319.38 per year.

At the present time there are no charges against this drain.

/S/ A. D. Ruth, Jr.
A. D. Ruth, Jr., Tippecanoe
County Surveyor

Those in attendance were: Alva Stime, Clarence Behringer, Omer Murphy, Dale Remaly and Dich Welch.

Many personal conflicts entered into this ditch hearing as was brought out in the oral remonstrances. No remonstrance had been filed prior to the hearing. After

70

listening to all who wished to voice their opinion and after it was clear that on their own they could never reach any decision, the Board decided to do what they felt best for all and voted to establish a maintenance fund of \$1.00 per acre.

The Engineer suggested that the tile run 40' West of 800E and recommended the following corrections in acreage: Omer Murphy's 3A assessment in the NE of Sec. 2 be removed and out of a 47.86A tract only 15A drain into the Smith and out of a 50.56A tract only 5A drain into the Smith Ditch.

John C. Amstutz
Ditch
Hearing
10:15a.m.

The Engineer opened the hearing on the John C. Amstutz ditch by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. He suggested including the following acres in this watershed for they are drained by this ditch:

- McCormick, Mary F.
- East Tipp School Bldg. Corp.
- Scharer, Daniel & Penelope A.
- Chaney, Larry J & Sue L.
- Fisher, Thomas R & Lillian J.
- Martin, Buel C & Wanda C.
- Temple, Robert H & Hazel
- Temple, Richard G. & Beverly A.
- Martin, Samuel L & Mabel
- Fields, Robert F & Faye L.
- Tipp. School Corp.
- Payne, James W & Frances A.
- Martin, Samuel L & Mabel

After much discussion the Board moved to continue this hearing so that the additional landowners could be notified and instructed the secretary to set up a new hearing at a later date.

McClure or Cuppy
Ditch Hearing
11:00 a.m.

The engineer opened the hearing on the McClure or Cuppy ditch by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. He also read all the remonstrances that had been filed on this ditch. Those in attendance were: Roland Halleck, Wayne Shamo, Spencer Congram, Charles R. Vaughan, Ruth and Ray Scifres, Robert W. Maier, John Schmitt, E. V. Lamberson and Robert C. Garrison.

Mr. Vaughan reported that he had 27A of ground under water because of the golf course. As this ditch does not go through this ground, the Engineer suggested that he tiled to this ditch to get the relief needed.

The new Hilton Motel being built in this district and a proposed lake in this drainage area was discussed.

The Engineer said that he had examined the area from the State Police barracks north and that stretch of the tile was in very bad condition.



The farm landowners felt that to assess this ditch by acres was unfair for most of the property owners along the Highway 52 were benefitted as much as the farm land but would be assessed much less. They felt to assess according to the amount benefitted would be a much fairer way to assess all landowners.

The Board moved to continue this hearing until many more answers could be acquired and then set a new hearing.

Order & Findings
& Certificate of
Assessments Signed

Upon the establishment of a maintenance fund on the Abe Smith ditch, the Board signed the Order and Findings and the Certificate of Assessments.

With no more business the Board moved to adjourn.


Edward J. Shaw

Robert Fields

Bruce Osborn

ATTEST:


Gladys Ridder, Exec. Secretary

The regular meeting of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met in the County Commissioners Room at 9:00 a.m., on Wednesday, May 2, 1973 with the following members present: Bruce Osborn, Robert Fields, Edward Shaw, A. D. Ruth, Jr., Fred Hoffman and Gladys Ridder.

Minutes Approved Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Edward Shaw and made unanimous by Robert Fields, the minutes of the April 4, 1973 meeting were approved as read.

General Business The Board moved to share the cost of the highway on the extension of the Dunkin ditch. Mr. Ruth reported the Friendly Village had promised plans for the proposed water line but as yet had not submitted them. John Fisher, inspector for the county, has reported very poor supervision on the part of the contractor on this project and that a corrugated pipe should have been covered when leaving the project, but because it was not covered had partially filled with mud.

Mr. Hoffman suggested a permit should be required before any one could hook into a legal drain. The Board was much in favor also.

Ditches Referred The Board referred the following ditches to the Engineer for a maintenance fund, The Richard B. Wetherill ditch, Shelby Twp. in Tippecanoe County and Boliver Twp., in Benton County, Peter Saltzman ditch, in Perry and Washington Twps. in Tippecanoe County and Clay Township in Carroll County.

9:30 Maintenance Hearing on the E. Eugene Johnson Ditch The Surveyor read his report and made his recommendations on the Eugene Johnson ditch. He then read a remonstrance from: Ora S. & Mary E. Gish, Allen C. Boots, Bertha M. Criss, Harold E & Mary J. Sipple, Raymond L & Mildred L. Bradley, Otto Steiner and Malcolm Stingley. The basis of their objection was due to N & W railroad's need to construct a tile under their right-of-way to allow use of the outlet. Mr. Hoffman, the County Attorney, said he would contact the Attorney of the Railroad and suggested a postponement of this hearing until these problems could be resolved. Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Robert Fields and made unanimous by Edward Shaw the Board moved to continue this hearing in the September 5, 1973 regular meeting. The only two who attended the hearing were: E. Eugene Johnson and Ora Gish.

10:15 Maintenance Hearing on the Martin V. Erwin Ditch The Surveyor opened the hearing on the Martin V. Erwin ditch by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. He read to the Board a letter of remonstrance by the Norfolk and Western Railroad stating they could not possibly be benefited by this drain. Mr. Ruth said he felt the acreage we had assessed them was possibly a little high, but that he felt part of their right-of-way did drain to this ditch. Those in attendance were: M. Gleason Morehouse and Walter Shackelford. Mr. Ruth reported that the telephone Company had damaged some of the tile and that he would notify them of same. Mr. Morehouse reported that the outlet was in need of repair and that he and Mrs. Kelly had fixed several tile on their own. Both men in attendance were of the opinion that this ditch was mostly 12" tile although the record shows only 6" tile. Mr. Ruth planned to meet Mr. Morehouse and again walk the ditch and determine exactly what the legal drain included. The Board so moved to continue this hearing next month at the regular meeting.

11:00 Maintenance Hearing on the Lane Parker Ditch The Engineer opened the maintenance hearing on the Lane Parker Ditch by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. No one appeared in behalf of this ditch. The farm manager for Edith Kelly Carr, Hugh Pence, was in the surveyor's office on Friday of last week stating that the acreage we had assessed Mrs. Carr was not adequate. He stated that when the soil conservation service rebuilt a portion of the ditch, more of this land was included in the watershed area. The acreage was changed to 152.32A out of a 154.32A tract and 64.809 acres out of a 66.809A tract.

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Edward Shaw and made unanimous by Robert Fields, the Board moved to establish a \$1.00 per acre assessment on the Lane Parker.

Order and Findings Certificate of Assess. After establishing a maintenance fund on the Lane Parker Ditch, the Board signed the Order and findings and the Certificate of assessments.


The Board had as guests six students from the West Lafayette High School government class. They were to observe the operation of the Surveyor's office as their project. Mr. Ruth relayed to them the work and responsibilities of the office.

Upon motion made and carried the Board adjourned.


Edward J. Shaw, Chairman


Robert Fields, Vice Chairman

ATTEST:


Gladys Ridder, Exec. Secretary


Bruce Osborn, Board Member

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD HELD JUNE 6, 1973.

The regular meeting of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board held on Wednesday, June 6th, 1973 at 9:00 o'clock a.m., in the Tippecanoe County Court House with the following members present: Edward Shaw, Robert Fields, Bruce Osborn, A. D. Ruth, Jr. Fred Hoffman, and Gladys Ridder.

Minutes Approved

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Robert Fields, and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the minutes of the May 2, 1973 meeting were approved as read.

Ditches Referred

The following ditch was referred to the Surveyor to prepare for a maintenance hearing: L. B. Wilson, Jackson Twp. in Tippecanoe County and Davis Twp. in Fountain County.

Problem with house being built on Amstutz Ditch

The County Surveyor brought to the attention of the Board and Mr. Joseph Fletcher, Area Plan Acting Director, that a house was now being constructed within sixty (60) feet of the Amstutz ditch and the required distance or easement is (75) seventy five feet. Mr. Fletcher said he would alert his staff by placing a place on the building permits to check when the easement had been checked.

9:30 a.m.
Maintenance Hearing on John Dooley ditch (Continued)

The Surveyor opened the maintenance hearing on the John Dooley ditch by reading his report and the minutes of the June, 1972 meeting of the Board and making his recommendations to the Board.

Those present were: Robert E. Stradling, Keith McMillin, Chester W. Dill, Iness L. Brown, Lawrence G. Treece and Michael P. Norris. Mrs. Ridder related Mr. Leon Howey's wishes of wanting a maintenance fund established. The opinions of those present, however, was against establishing a maintenance fund as they preferred to take care of the ditch themselves. Keith McMillin said he had removed a tree that was in question as to it's hindrance to the drainage and Mr. Treece said he had cleaned his portion of the ditch until he felt it would pass any inspection. Others related same and although the Surveyor advised a small maintenance fund for future years, they persisted in asking the Board not to establish a fund. After assurance from the Attorney that they could postpone it indefinitely the Board so moved.

10:15 a.m.,
Maintenance Hearing on the John M. Blickenstaff Ditch (Continued)

The Surveyor opened the maintenance hearing on the John M. Blickenstaff ditch by reading the minutes of the June, 1972 meeting and reporting that the job they were doing was a fine job. Last year they asked the Board to give them a year to bring their ditch into good repair and they had complied. Those present were: Theodore Dieterle, Charles Kennedy and Keith Barger. With Mr. Ruth's recommendation of a small maintenance fund, those present asked the Board if they would set a ten cents (10¢) an acre assessment.

Upon motion by Mr. Bruce Osborn, seconded by Robert Fields and made unanimous by Edward Shaw a ten cents (10¢) an acre assessment was approved. Some discussion of whether the Sheese and Byron Skinner properties should be left in this watershed because they were not assessed for the repairs and Keith Barger said he felt they should be left in for the maintenance fund. Mr. Kennedy reported that his tile needed to be cut-off and he was assured that that job would be done before the contractor was released.

10:30 a.m. Martin V. Erwin Ditch Maintenance Hearing

Mr. Ruth read the minutes of last month's meeting where those present felt the problem with the Martin Erwin ditch lay in the obstruction caused by the Indiana Bell Telephone Co. line. Mr. Ruth had suggested then that he would contact them and the Board had postponed the hearing one month until this answer could be found. The only person present was Mr. Walter Shackelford. Mr. Ruth reported Telephone Company has been contacted and that the problem at the Intersection of Jackson Highway just East of 600W would be taken care of by Mr. Cohee of Cohee Construction Co. and the bill would be sent directly to the Construction Supervisor of the Indiana Bell Telephone Co. of Fowler, Indiana. With no objection to the one dollar (\$1.00) per acre assessment, the motion of Mr. Robert Fiels, seconded by Bruce Osborn and made unanimous by Edward Shaw established the assessment.

11:00 a.m.
James N. Kirkpatrick Ditch Maintenance Hearing

The Engineer opened the meeting by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. He read the remonstrances of Gladys Sterrett and Donna Kirk. He recommended to the Board after visiting the Gladys Sterrett property that her acreage should be reduced by nine acres. The Board so moved. Those in attendance were: Walter Pendleton, Robert Brady for Mary Brady, James Williamson for prairie Oaks, Inc., Porter Kirkpatrick, C. L. Thompson, Homer M. Kerlin, and Eileen Kerlin. Mr. Pendleton reported that he felt his acreage in the watershed was too high and that the seventy five cents (\$0.75) an acre assessment was also too high. Mr. Pendleton and Mr. Williamson reported that hog manure from the Howard Daugherty farm was flowing out on the surface of the ground thru the branch of the Kirkpatrick ditch. They relayed their contact with the health department with no results and asked the Drainage Board to please see what they could do to relieve the very bad situation. Mr. Hoffman, the County Attorney said he would talk to the health officer and meet with Mr. Ruth later and see what could be worked out. Many of those present felt that a fifty cent (\$0.50) per acre assessment would be adequate to maintain this ditch so the Board respecting their request unanimously voted to establish a fifty cents per acre maintenance fund.

Certificate of Assessments and Order & Findings Signed

Upon the establishment of a maintenance fund on three ditches., the Board signed the Order and Findings forms and the Certificate of Assessment forms. All maintenance funds established will be placed on the Treasurer's books for collection in 1974.

The business of the day completed, the Board so moved to adjourn.

Edward J. Shaw
Edward J. Shaw, Chairman

Robert Fields
Robert Fields, Vice Chairman

Bruce Osborn
Bruce Osborn, Board Member

ATTEST:

Gladys Ridder
Gladys Ridder, Exec. Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 6, 1988

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 6, 1988 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47901.

Chairman Bruce Osborn called the meeting to order at 8:30 A.M. with the following being present: Eugene R. Moore and Sue W. Scholer Boardmembers, Michael J. Spencer Surveyor, Mark Houck Drainage Consultant, J. Frederick Hoffman Drainage Attorney, and Maralyn D. Turner Executive Secretary. Others present are on file.

This being the first meeting of the year Chairman Osborn ask Mr. Hoffman to preside over the meeting to conduct the election of officers.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Chairman, Sue W. Scholer nominated Bruce V. Osborn Chairman, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, there being no other nominations Mr. Osborn was elected Chairman of the Board.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Vice-Chairman, Sue W. Scholer nominated Eugene R. Moore, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, there being no further nominations Eugene R. Moore was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board.

Sue W. Scholer moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman Drainage Board Attorney, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, unanimous approval.

The Board had agreed to have Mark Houck as Drainage Board Consultant.

Sue W. Scholer moved to appoint Maralyn D. Turner as the Executive Secretary of the Drainage Board, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, unanimous approval.

Mr. Hoffman read the Active Ditches for the year of 1988. E.W. Andrews, Juluis Berlovitz, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, M.W. Box, A. P. Brown, Buck Creek (Carroll County) Train Coe, County Farm, Darby Wetherhill (Benton County), Christ Fassnacht, Marion Dunkin, Christ Fassnacht, Issac Gowen (White County) Martin Gray, Thomas Haywood, E.F. Haywood, Harrison Meadows, Lewis Jakes, Jenkins, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Mary McKinney, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh (Montgomery County) F.E. Morin, Hester Motsinger, Audley Oshier, Emmett Raymon (White County) a letter of January 5, 1988 is on file from White County requesting ditch be active, Arthur Rickerd, Abe Smith, Gustavel Swanson, Treece Meadows, Wilson-Nixon (Fountain County) Simeon Yeager, S.W. Elliott, Dismal Creek, and Shawnee Creek.

Ditches which have been inactive and need to be made active are Jesse Anderson, Dempsey Baker, Floyd Coe, Shawnee Creek.

Inactive ditches John Amstutz, Delphine Anson, Newell Baker, Nellie Ball, A.P. Brown, Alfred Burkhalter, Orrin Byers, Grant Cole, J.A. Crips, Chas Daughtery, Fannie Devault, Jess Dickens, Thomas Ellis, Martin V. Erwin, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Fred Hafner, E.F. Haywood, George Ilgenfritz, George Inskeep, Eugene Johnson, F.S. Kerschner, Amanda Kirkpatrick, James Kirkpatrick, Calvin Lesley, John McCoy, John McFarland, Absalm Miller, Ann Montgomery, J. Kelly O'Neal, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Alexander Ross, James Sheperdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C. Starrett, Wm A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor, John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, William Walters, McDill Waples, J&J Wilson, Franklin Yoe.

Luther Lucas ditch is made inactive and to be combined into the Dismal Creek ditch.

Mr. Osborn asked if first and second alternates could be appointed to be representatives for Tri-County ditches? Mr. Hoffman advised the board to go ahead and appoint them, if this isn't proper action can be taken later. The following representative and alternates were appointed for the following ditches.

Hoffman ditch, Eugene R. Moore, Sue W. Scholer was appointed first alternate and Bruce V. Osborn second alternate.

McLaughlin ditch, Bruce Osborn, Eugene R. Moore first alternate, and second alternate Sue W. Scholer.

Michael stated he had received a letter from Benton County in regards to the Darby Wetherhill ditch and he asked the board to appoint a representative and alternates for this ditch. Sue W. Scholer is representative, first alternate Eugene R. Moore, second alternate Bruce V. Osborn.

Otterbein Ditch representative will be Sue W. Scholer, first alternate Eugene R. Moore, second alternate Bruce V. Osborn.

Michael asked that the Secretary send letters to each county informing them of the appointments.

Michael Spencer presented a Petition received from Purdue Research Foundation to vacate a portion of the Dempsey Baker Ditch lying south of the north right-of way line of County Road 350 North and lying in the east half of the southeast quarter, Section 1, Township 23 North, Rge 5 West, and the North 50 acres more or less of the West half of

January 6, 1988 Drainage Board Meeting Continued

the south west quarter, Section 6, Township 23 North, Range 4 West, all in Wabash Township, Tippecanoe County, Indiana.

Michael stated a hearing date would have to be set when assessment list is received.

Bruce Osborn asked where they were going with the water? Michael stated he felt it was through holding ponds then metered out to the same place it has always gone, Hadley Lake.

Bruce Osborn stated the board has never vacated a portion where it still drains through the existing legal drain. Mr. Hoffman answered no, if they are going to use the drain they can't vacate, if they are not going to use it then it can be vacated. Mr. Hoffman stated there would be a question of taking them out of the Watershed in regards to assessments. They will still have to pay their assessment as they are remaining in the watershed, the Purdue Research should be notified of this. If this is for the upper end this will help. Mark Houck stated there is a problem of metering at the same rate, but it will increase the volume of water going to Hadley Lake. They will have to meet the ordinance.

Many questions need to be answered before action is taken.

VALLEY FORGE

Valley Forge

Michael J. Spencer informed the board that a letter of Credit for \$62,000.00 to cover half the cost of installation of the permanent drainage system, this was through Tippecanoe Development Corporation. Roy Prock is new owner of Valley Forge he wants to substitute a new \$62,000.00 letter of credit for the other one since he is the new owner. Michael has talked with Mr. Hoffman there will be no problem to do this, accept the construction bond needs to be secured for deposit for Mr. Prock just like originally had been presented by Tippecanoe Development Corporation before the old one can be released and except new one from Mr. Prock. Mr. Hoffman stated they will have to present an agreement along with the Letter of Credit then the other can be released.

MEETING TIME CHANGE

Eugene Moore moved to change meeting time of the Drainage Board from 8:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M., seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

JOHN HOFFMAN DITCH

JOHN HOFFMAN DITCH

Bruce Osborn called the meeting to order at 9:15 A.M.

Tri-County Board representatives are Eugene R. Moore Tippecanoe County, William Lucas Clinton County, and Charles Sutton Carroll County.

Mr. Hoffman conducted election of officers.

William Lucas nominated Eugene R. Moore as Chairman, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations Eugene Moore was elected Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore nominated William Lucas as Vice-Chairman, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations William Lucas was elected Vice-Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations Maralyn D. Turner was elected Secretary.

Mr. Hoffman was chosen to serve as the Attorney for the board when the board was first formed, he will continue to serve.

Mr. Osborn thanked the property owners for coming to this informal meeting. He informed them that nothing would be decided officially, it is an opportunity for the property owner to see what has happened up to this time.

After Michael J. Spencer presents the project questions may be asked.

Michael J. Spencer, surveyor introduced those present Maralyn D. Turner, Secretary, J. Frederick Hoffman Attorney, Sue W. Scholer, Bruce V. Osborn, and Eugene R. Moore Tippecanoe County Commissioners, William Lucas Clinton County Commissioner and Neal Conner Clinton County Surveyor, Grover West Carroll County Surveyor, and Charles Sutton Carroll County Commissioners, and Mark Houck Tippecanoe County Drainage Consultant.

Mr. Spencer presented Construction Estimates in Phases I, Alternate I, Alternate II, Alternate III, and Alternate IV, and Phase II. This estimate was done by Robert Gross engineer with Stewart Kline and Associates.

Mr. Spencer asked for questions.

Bob Power asked if there was tile in there at the present time? Answer yes, Phase I the tile would come out. Alternate I would be to dig the tile out approximately 6" below the existing tile, under Alternate II lowering it 4'. This is to gain grade. The area being discussed on the ditch is at 900 E.

Lola Harner asked how are you digging 4' and stopping at 900 East wouldn't you have to continue on west? Michael answered they would have to continue west of 900 East, this wouldn't be to far west as the ravine system drops off.

Mr. Power asked if a bridge would have to be put across 900 East? Michael stated they

felt the culvert was the right size and would carry the water, it is just too high.

Mr. Power asked if a tile could be put in without tearing up the road? Michael stated he did not think this could be done without tearing up the road.

Mr. Moore asked how many acres in the watershed? Total acres 2420. There may be a difference of 80 acres, this would be checked.

Mr. Power asked how much is coming out of maintenance fund? There is no maintenance fund on the ditch at this time, if a tile hole breaks it is up to the landowner to do the repairs.

Jesse Barr asked would the soil change? Answer the dirt will not be changed, just better drainage. Mr. Barr asked if the ditch was going to be the same size at 1025 East. Answer at the road 1025 108" round pipe, two 72" round pipe, two 84" and at 900 East 14'10" X 9'1" structural plate pipe arch.

Neal Dexter asked how much water will come down into Coffee Run ditch. Michael stated the same amount of water would be coming down. Mrs. Harner and Mr. Dexter were concerned about the erosion and damage.

Mr. Hoffman asked if there was a positive outlet. Answer it goes into a ravine system that eventually gets to the Wildcat creek. Mr. Hoffman asked how far from the end of the legal drain to the Wildcat. Answer give or take one and half to two miles.

LaVonne Scheffee had concern of gravel and the culvert being closed shut. Michael stated this is the reason he has pointed out the culvert sizes at the different road crossings.

Elwood Burkle asked that the cost be discussed. Mr. Spencer pointed out that the last page of the estimate summarizes the cost.

Mr. Spencer explained the Indiana Drainage Codes to the landowners. The decision is made by the property owners.

Mr. Barr asked who is responsible for drainage on property? County is responsible for the road crossings, property owners is responsible for drainage on their own property.

Elwood Burkle asked what depth would tile be? Answer some of the cuts would be 10-11 feet deep from the existing ground. Banks would be a lot higher than they are now. Michael stated at 900 East 1/4 mile east it is 5 feet below the bottom of the existing water way.

Mr. Hoffman stated the property owners should consider extending the legal drain down to the Wildcat to maintain the valleys, as there is problems if you don't have a positive outlet especially one with this size. There is no control over the valleys as it is now. He felt this would not add that much to the cost.

Jerry Frey stated he is constantly fixing blow holes. It is getting continuously worse. They are finding that the tiles are shifting. He feels the major problem is at the outlet. It has been severely neglected. There are tree roots and tiles that have floated up out of the system. He feels the first thing to do would be fixing and opening up the outlet.

Mr. Power asked in the estimate has consideration been taken in the area west of 900 East? No. Mr. Power felt this would be essential. Michael answered until a legal drain is extended down that way they can't do anything with it, they can do some corrective measures directly downstream from the road. He has to work with the starting and stopping points of the ditch, this is what he had to work with.

At this point Mr. Hoffman explained the procedures of making legal drain west of 900 East.

Malcomb Miller stated he agrees with Jerry Frey's statement. Mr. Miller's concern is the hardship the assessments would make for the property owners.

Jerry Frey stated they can't seem to hold the blow holes, each spring they are back and bigger holes. Mr. Frey doesn't know what causes this except another ditch was added about four years ago this makes more pressure from the upland it's coming down in such a velocity causing the problem.

Debbie Lineback asked what kind of time frame are you talking about as she carried petition in 1982. Mr. Hoffman stated it probably wouldn't take the time that he did previously.

Mr. Moore asked the feeling of the property owner.

LaVonne Scheffee asked if there was any rules in regards to health and sanitation? Thirty years ago when they purchased their property you couldn't jump over the ditch, now there is refrigerators and other debris making the ditch level. She doesn't understand why the farmer doesn't have to keep it cleaned out. She complained about the road grader grading gravel making a wall at the ditch.

Mr. Osborn stated the board is powerless in regards to debris in the ditches until there is a maintenance fund set up. Maintenance fund is needed.

January 6, 1988 Drainage Board Meeting Continued

Jerry Frey asked who has authority? Mr. Hoffman explained the board is the authority.

Mr. Frey is for starting a legal drain with a maintenance fund, but he feels that the money should be brought forward to be spent on opening up the outlet and fixing the main tile. Try to get by with what they have with maintenance.

Malcomb Miller supports Mr. Frey's statement.

Mr. Moore asked Michael if a maintenance fund could be set up and just clean or does it come under reconstruction?

Michael stated they would be maintaining what there is now.

Mrs. Scheffee asked how this would help? Mr. Hoffman stated it would be taking the ditch back to it's original condition.

Mr. Lucas asked if there was an estimate for a maintenance clean out? NO. Michael felt it would just take a week to get an estimate put together. Mr. Lucas stated it would probably take two years to get a maintenance fund set up. Michael stated for a few years the fund could be set at a high figure and then lowered.

Debbie Lineback stated when she carried the petition around and 80-90% of the property owners stated it should be an open ditch, it never worked from day one.

Elwood Burkle stated that those living north and east of the Clinton and Carroll County line would receive no benefits by opening the bottom portion yet they would be paying for it. There are too many obstruction.

Dale Fossnock stated: His ancestors stated that when the ditch was put in, it never worked.

Glen Kelly stated there were six of them that worked on the ditch where the tile comes out. This was 30 years ago.

Mrs. Glen Kelly stated it cost her \$100.00 to get a petition in 1982 out of her pocket. She was informed that there is a standard petition form now and there would be no cost for the petition. Mrs. Kelly stated they have willows and to get rid of them the water has to be taken care of.

Glen Kelly stated there are two 6" raises in the ditch, one is on the Bogan property and the woods.

Question was asked was it constructed that way? Yes. When the ditch was built it was built by the people.

Michael stated the grade can be checked.

Mr. Barr would agree to keep the water going.

Mr. Scheffee stated when they first came to the area there were no problems he feels it has to be open all the way.

Mrs. Kelly stated they have two ponds on their property, water is over the road most of the time, getting out is a problem most of the time. Even when it was dry this summer it was wet.

Mrs. Harner stated this has been a problem for many years.

Mrs. Scheffee stated a lot of the problem was created when 900 East was reconstructed.

Grover West asked how many small acreages were in the watershed. His concern is the break down in lots and acreage.

Mrs. Harner stated the assessment doesn't seem fair.

Kenneth Walker stated there is peat in the area of the Ford property, reason for so much water in the area.

Neal Conner stated that it would be spring of 1989 to get a maintenance fund in to affect.

After much discussion Mr. Spencer asked for show of hands.

Phase I Alternate I, Phase II Dig Open ditch up to where the two branches come together and tile system. Approximate Cost \$200.00 acre. Vote 7.

Open Ditch all the way. Approximate Cost \$242.00 per acre. Vote 8.

Maintenance. Assessment per acre to be set possible classifications. Vote 5.

The vote going for an open ditch all the way Mr. Spencer will get estimates and hold another meeting to present findings to the property owners.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 10:30 A.M.

Bruce V. Osborn

Bruce V. Osborn, Chairman

Sue W. Scholer

Sue W. Scholer, Boardmember

Eugene R. Moore

Eugene R. Moore, Boardmember

ATTEST:

Maralyn D. Turner

Maralyn D. Turner
Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1989

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met in regular session Wednesday, January 4, 1989 at 9:00 A.M. in the Community Meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana.

The meeting was called to order by J. Frederick Hoffman, County Attorney for the reorganization of the Drainage Board for 1989. Those present were: Bruce V. Osborn, Eugene R. Moore, Sue W. Scholer, Michael J. Spencer, J. Frederick Hoffman, and Maralyn D. Turner, others in attendance are on file.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Chairman of the Board. Bruce V. Osborn nominated Eugene R. Moore as Chairman seconded by Sue W. Scholer, there being no further nominations Eugene was elected Chairman of the Board.

Mr. Hoffman asked the newly elected Chairman Eugene R. Moore to preside over the meeting.

Eugene Moore asked for nominations for Vice-Chairman, Bruce V. Osborn nominated Sue W. Scholer for Vice-Chairman, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, there being no further nominations Sue W. Scholer was elected Vice-Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore asked for nominations for Secretary, Bruce V. Osborn nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, no further nominations from the floor for secretary Maralyn D. Turner was elected.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Drainage Attorney for the year 1989, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, unanimous approval.

Mr. Hoffman read the Ditch Assessments for Active and Inactive ditches. The following ditches being Inactive for 1989 are: John Amstutz, Jesse Anderson, Dempsey Baker Newell Baker, Nellie Ball, A.P. Brown, Orrin Byers, Floyd Coe, Grant Cole, J.A. Cripe, Fannie DeVault, Jess Dickens, Martin V. Erwin, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Geo Ilgenfritz,

George Inskeep, Lewis Jakes, E.Eugene Johnson, F.S. Kerschner, Amanda Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Calvin Lesley, Luther Lucas, John McCoy, John McFarland, Absalm Miller, Ann Montgomery, J. Kelly O'Neal, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Alexander Ross, James Sheperdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C. Sterrett, Wm. A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor, John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, McDill Waples, Lena Wilder, J&J Wilson, Franklin Yoe.

The following ditches read are Active Ditches: E.W. Andrews, Delphine Anson, Juluis Berlovitz, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, N.W. Box, Buck Creek (Carrroll County), Train Coe, County Farm, Darby Wetherill (Benton County), Marion Dunkin, Crist/Fassnacht, Issac Gowen (White County), Martin Gray, E. F. Haywood, Thomas Haywood, Harrison Meadows, Jenkins, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, Mary McKinney, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh (Montgomery County), Hester Motsinger, Aduley Oshier, Emmett Raymon (White County), Arthur Richerd, Abe Smith, Mary Southworth, Gustavel Swanson, Treece meadows, Wilson-Nixon (Fountain County), Simeon Yeager, S.W. Elliott, Dismal Creek, Shawnee Creek.

The following ditches read were made Active for 1989: Alfred Burkhalter (Clinton County), Charles Daugherty, Thomas Ellis, Fred Hafner, James Kirkpatrick, F. E. Morin, William Walters, and Kirkpatrick One. Michael Spencer wanted the Martin Gray to be included in the Active, it had been read as active, but for the records read in the Make Active. Sue W. Scholer moved to activate the ditches as read, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, unanimous approval.

Alfred Burkhalter ditch joint with our County the Board secretary should send a letter to the Tippecanoe County Auditor and the Clinton County Auditor.

Michael stated in June 1987 a hearing was held to combine the Treece Meadows branch with S. W. Elliott ditch. These maintenance funds need to be combined and treated as the S.W. Elliott ditch. Sue W. Scholer moved to combine the maintenance funds on the Treece Meadows with the S. W. Elliott ditch treat them all as one, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, unanimous approval.

J. Frederick Hoffman asked if the Treece Meadows was considered designated branch under the S. W. Elliott ditch? Michael answered it is; Treece Meadows has a beginning point and ending point.

Michael Spencer received a letter signed by two property owners, Malcomb Miller and Jerry Frey on the John Hoffman requesting that the board set up a maintenance fund. A hearing was held in 1988 for reconstruction, this did not go too well. Some were going to try to contact the downstream property owners to make it a legal drain all the way down to Coffee Run. Hearing nothing these property owners are requesting a maintenance fund.

Mr. Hoffman stated this is the ditch that does not have a positive outlet. Correct. They hope to make a positive outlet with the maintenance funds.

Michael will have to make a maintenance report before a hearing can be held. Discussion continued.

Jim Strother property owner 3876 Kensington Drive concerned about drainage of the Orchard Park Subdivision. Michael told Mr. Strother he had received Preliminary submittal that was requested from the engineer to supply with more information, but that

HOFFMAN
DITCH

information has not been received. Michael will notify Mr. Strother when he receives the information and when the project comes before the board.

Sue W. Scholer asked Don Sooby, of the Lafayette City Engineer office where are we on McCarty Lane, is it progressing. Mr. Sooby stated a public hearing will be held January 26, 1989, no other meeting has been set up.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 9:25 A.M. Next meeting will be February 1, 1989.

Eugene R. Moore

Eugene R. Moore, Chairman

Bruce V. Osborn

Bruce V. Osborn, Board Member

Sue W. Scholer

ATTEST: *Maralyn D. Turner*
Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR Meeting January 3, 1990

The TIPPECANOE County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 3, 1990 in the Community Meeting room of the TIPPECANOE County Office Building 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana.

Those present were Bruce V. Osborn and Sue W. Scholer, Board Members; Michael J. Spencer, Surveyor; Todd Frauhiger, Drainage Consultant; J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Attorney; and Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary, others present are on file.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Drainage Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman stated that it is time for election of officers for a new year.

Bruce V. Osborn nominated Sue W. Scholer for chairman of the board, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried, there being no other nominations from the floor Sue was elected Chairman of the Board.

Sue W. Scholer chairman continued the meeting asking for nomination for Vice Chairman, Sue W. Scholer nominated Bruce V. Osborn as Vice-Chairman, seconded by Bruce, motion carried, there being no other nominations from the floor Bruce was elected Vice-Chairman.

Bruce V. Osborn nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, there being no other nominations from the floor Maralyn was elected Executive Secretary.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept J. Frederick Hoffman's continued services as Drainage Attorney for the year 1990, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

Michael J. Spencer recommended to continue the services of the Chris Burke Engineering, LTD as Drainage Engineer Consultant for the year 1990. Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept Michael's recommendation, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.
1990 DITCH ASSESSMENTS

Fred Hoffman read the following ditches to be made Active for assessments in May 1990. Jesse Anderson, A.P. Brown, Orrin Byers, John McFarland, Ann Montgomery, and the J. Kelly O'Neal.

Ditches that are In Active are: John Amstutz, Dempsey Baker, Nellie Ball, N.W. Box, Alfred Burkhalter, Floyd Coe, Grant, Cole, J. A. Cripe, Fannie Devault, Marion Dunkin, Jess Dickes, Martin V. Erwin, Crist/Fassnacht, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Harrison Meadows George Ilgenfritz, George Inskeep, Lewis Jakes, Jenkins, E. Eugene Johnson, F. S. Kerschmer, Amanda Kirkpatrick, James Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Calvin Lesley, John McCoy, Mary McKinney, Absalm Miller, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Arthur Richard, Alexander Ross, James Shepherdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C. Sterrett, Wm A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor, John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, McDill Waples, J. & J. Wilson, Franklin Yoe, and Shawnee Creek.

Ditches that are Active are: E. W. Andrews, Delphine Anson, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, Buck Creek (Carroll County), Train Coe, Darby Wetherill (Benton County), Thomas Ellis, Issac Gowen (White County), Martin Gray, Fred Hafner, E.F. Haywood, Thomas Haywood, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh (Montgomery County), Hester Motsinger, Audley Oshier, Emmett Raymon (White County), Abe Smith, Mary Southworth, William Walters, Wilson-Nixon (Fountain County), Simeon Yeager, S. W. Elliott, Dismal Creek, and Kirkpatrick One.

Bruce V. Osborn moved that the ditches that were read to be made active become active on the May 1990 Assessment, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

COUNTRY CHARMS

John Fisher asked that this be continued until next meeting February 7, 1990.

TRASH TRANSFER

John Fisher presented site drawings. Outlet goes into the Flood Plan. Mr. Hoffman asked who owns the Flood Plan? Leroy Barton. Question as to if it would increase the flow and the speed onto Barton. Question do you have permission from Mr. Barton? Answer - No. Mr. Hoffman stated that permission should be received from Leroy Barton. Mr. Fisher stated they are providing rip-rap, it will not increase the velocity. Mr. Fisher pointed out that they had met with the Soil Conservation and have worked out the one condition of erosion control. Mr. Hoffman asked if Mr. Barton knew about this meeting? NO. Presentation and discussion continued.

Bruce V. Osborn asked John Fisher to explain the plans to the Barton's.

Michael stated that the water is tributary to that area now, it will go through a pond now instead of sheet drainage.

Mr. Hoffman stated they should have their chance to object, so that they can't say we are damaging their property.

Sue W. Scholer stated there are two recommendations made.

1. The erosion control. 2. The calculations.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to give approval to the drainage control for the Trash Transfer with exception of #9 and the other recommendations as stated in the Christopher Burke

COUNTRY
CHARMS

TRASH
TRANSFER

Engineering, LTD review, plus letter from downstream from Burton's, seconded by Sue W. Scholer.

✓
DIMMENSION

DIMENSION CABLE

CABLE

George Schulte engineer from Ticen and Associates presented site plans. Property is located in the Treece Drainage Watershed area. The water shed area was analyzed to determine the high water elevation that would be in the channel. Their detention storage volume that they calculated was above the high water elevation of the ditch along north property line. They did decrease the allowable release rate from 2.11 cfs down to .4 cfs, there is about 3.3 acres in the site. They are increasing the volume required for storage on site.

Sue W. Scholer asked about the plans for maintenance on that ditch? Basically they are assuming that the owner would maintain the entire site, this is reason for putting 3-1 slopes on the ditch.

Mr. Hoffman asked if it was a new ditch, George again stated it is an existing ditch. The ditch at this time is full of brush, weeds, etc, it is not a legal drain.

George stated they are asking for final drainage approval.

Mr. Hoffman asked if George's client would be willing to participate in the cost of a more substantial drainage improvement in the area. Mr. Shulte stated he could not answer that question, but he feels he would be willing. Bruce asked if conditions had been met? Michael Spencer answered, no, there is one other conditions and that is that the City of Lafayette review this project, as of January 2, 1990 this area is in side the City Limits as is Wal-Mart. Mr. Sooby has not seen the plans presented. Discussion continued.

Mr. Hoffman stated this is not a subdivision, but should have the same kind of restriction as subdivisions. Mr. Hoffman asked that a letter be received from the developer stating they will participate in their fair share of the improvement when the major improvement is made. Michael asked if he was talking about facility on site. Answer-yes. Maintenance on site and that they would assist in making that area a part of the legal drain, and that they will participate in the cost of improving the Wilson Branch. Michael asked if they should provide a letter stating that they will maintain their on site system. Mr. Hoffman stated he would like for it to be in form that can be recorded, so it will run with the land should the land be sold.

George asked what things are needed for approval? 1. Participate in the improvements of the Wilson Branch. 2. Cost of improvements. 3. Maintain the one on the premises, and if they don't the County would have the right to maintain it and assess the cost. Incorporate the existing drain on the north side of the site into the Treece drain or Wilson Branch.

A letter is needed from the owner for the above mentioned items to Michael. Michael asked that the city review and give their approval be added as they are involved.

Sue asked if the board understands correctly that the City still wants that maintenance to run to the County on the regulated drain. Mr. Sooby answered, he thinks that is correct.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to give approval with the four recommendations being met, seconded by Sue W. Scholer.

WAL-MART

WAL- MART

Clifford Norton representing Wal-Mart and George Davidson of Horne Properties presented drainage plans. Michael stated the plans meet the county restriction on the limited release rate. Michael pointed out at the last meeting Mr. Long was present and brought up the fact of emergency routing for drainage which is a problem in this area, and at that time Michael stated he had Christopher Burke Engineering LTD looking at the Wilson Branch from Ross Road where the Simon improvement would end with the 100 year design flow in the channel. He had him look all the way up through Treece Meadows on what design would be required or Channel section would be required to get from Ross Road up to Treece Meadows. Michael has received the report this morning. Basically what he says in his report is to properly move the 100 year storm event from the north end of Treece Meadows or where open channel turns and goes back west through the Subdivision, looking at approximately 40 foot bottom width on the channel and 2-1 side slopes from there down to the Wilson Branch in some fashion. They have had some preliminary locations for the channel so he would have some idea for lengths to work with as far as grades to get the water down there, basically at this time to pass the 100 year storm event is to provide a 40 foot bottom width channel with 2-1 side slopes down to the Wilson Branch, then continue down the Wilson Branch taking out the trees and re-grading the bottom and side slopes down to Ross Road in order to get the water to the regional detention facility that will be constructed. Michael stated this is a starting point as there are allot of alternatives that can be put in there. This is basically what Channel section they are looking at. The crossings of Creasey Lane and McCarty Lane will need bridge openings of approximately 600 square foot openings to pass the 100 year storm event. Bruce asked if this was visible? Mr. Norton stated anything is visible. Bruce asked if this was to go in during the other construction? Michael answered it would take a petition for re-construction of the Wilson Branch of the Elliott ditch. Michael feels that we are at the point now where a petition is needed from the watershed area. More study is needed. While the land is open is the time to get something started. Cost estimates and plans will have to be put together. Michael can not put a time element on it, the area is hot enough for development and something needs to be done. Discussion of petition.

WAL-MART CONTINUED
JANUARY 3, 1990 DRAINAGE BOARD MEETING

Mr. Davidson stated that Wal-Mart has no problem at all to work with the rest of the watershed and are willing to pay their fair share of the assessment.

Tom McCully representing Long Tree Limited went over what Long Tree Limited went through when they were developing Burberry Subdivision. The problem is at the South end at Treece drain and Wilson Branch, pipe put in 1978 creates constriction of everything upstream from there. Discussion of Cost in 1978, and the over all problem of the area. At that time the owners agreed to put an assessment based upon the cost, which amounted to approximately \$1,000.00 per acre. Today's presentation does try to address the problem all the way from the north end of Treece down to the Wilson Branch on down to the Elliott ditch. Tom stressed that if we don't look at an over all picture we are not going to get anything accomplished. What has to be done is as property is developed everybody agrees to participate to get the problem corrected. At this time we have an open ditch going into a 24" pipe. Discussion continued.

Tom McCully stated that probably this should be an Urban drain not a rural drain. Convert to Urban drain and reconstruct. Long Tree Limited is willing to cooperate. Again he stressed that everybody is going to have to be in agreement that the problem needs corrected and go from there. The longer this goes the more expense it is going to be. Discussion continued.

Michael stated that in the interim there is a plan that could be done temporarily to get the emergency routing out of the Subdivision. This is going to take cooperation from the people involved.

Bruce asked Mr. Norton if they are going to be asking for road cuts on Creasey, answer yes, they have two entrance, and one on Highway 26.

Mr. Hoffman stated Wal-Mart will have to have some type of document stating they will participate in and pay their fair share of the cost of the improvement, and maintain what else they will be putting in there, if they don't the county will have the right to go in and maintain, then assess them for the cost.

Sue Scholer suggested that Michael call a meeting with all property owners involved in the development.

Michael stated that Burke Engineering brought to his attention that this could be a lengthy project, but in the mean time the board should look at a temporary diversion swale, not a major structure. Mr. Hoffman asked if there was a place for it and Michael replied it can be done, however it will not be easy. Michael stated this would be everybody north of Treece Meadows who wants to develop. Michael wanted more time to think. Mr. Sooby was concerned about property owner saying let the other guy do it.

Mr. Davidson asked Michael if he was satisfied with their drainage analysis, answer - yes.

Mr. Norton stated there are two ways that Wal-Mart can go. He asked if the board could give approval subject to meeting the qualifications to avoid another meeting or bring up all the criteria that they need to submit and have another meeting.

Sue W. Scholer stated that the board would be requiring all the essential things stated and final approval passed would be subject to all things presented to Michael and approved by the attorney and the City of Lafayette. Sue stated possibly the board should make a requirement as Wal-Mart goes through the process of their development some of the other things needed will be based on getting a meeting and something temporary with all people involved who are developing in that area.

Mr. Davidson again stated they would agree in participating in what ever effort is made out in that area. They would like to leave the meeting this morning with some idea of construction cost so they can build their budget. He stated they could have a letter back to Michael tomorrow committing to the things the board is trying to accomplish.

Michael Spencer and Don Sooby will work together to come up with satisfactory proposals. Don stated that lionslyng share of the burden may fall on Wal-Mart to do something temporary, as no body wants to do anything until their development is ready to move. Wal-Mart wants to move ahead with their development and if the interim facilities are necessary for this to get board approval, but not the total cost is going to fall on Wal-Mart. Discussion continued.

Michael asked if a credit could be given back to Wal-Mart at a later date of what they would put in on the interim? Mr. Sooby stated that the interim facility is not going to contribute much toward the long term, it really isn't a down payment on the ultimate facilities.

Mr. Davidson asked how will the development fully affect the Treece Meadows. Michael answered hopefully up to a 100 year storm event by calculations it should reduce the downstream affect, its above the 100 year storm event that is of concern. Currently there is 80 cfs coming off for a 10 year storm. Discussion continued.

Sue W. Scholer asked what needs to be done to get the total process going?

Mr. Hoffman stated if Michael feels there is a need for reconstruction as an Urban drain Michael should report that to the Board and then the process can start for making it an Urban drain for reconstruction. That's on the long term. A Petition is not needed all that is necessary is a letter from Michael Spencer surveyor stating that it needs to be an Urban drain and it can be done as an Urban drain. Statement should state that if it is reconstructed as an Urban drain it will drain the area properly. Michael should present a letter to the Board.

Mr. Hoffman agreed with Mr. Sooby's statement that Wal-Mart is going to have to pay most of the cost of the temporary facility as the other property owners can say they are not ready to develop and we don't see the need for this until we develop. Discussion continued.

Items needed from Wal-Mart are: Letter of Commitment for Maintenance of the drain facilities that they build. In the letter a commitment for participation in the original program and that Wal-Mart pay their fair share of reconstruction and if they do not maintain the drainage on their property the county would have a right to come in and do the maintenance and make assessment for the cost. Mr. Hoffman wanted this to be in a recordable fashion so it will run with the land.

The Wal-Mart was asked to come back Tuesday January 9, 1990 at 9:30 A.M. for re-convened session. Due to not having a quorum of Board Members the January 9 meeting was postponed until Wednesday January 17, 1990 at 9:00 A.M..

STATE ROAD

STATE ROAD 38 PROJECT AGREEMENT

38 PROJECT AGREEMENT

Agreement with the State on Hwy 38 the detention pond and drainage. The County will receive \$50,000.00 if it is installed prior to the time the State goes to work on the 38 Project, if the County does not have it installed the County does not get the \$50,000.00 and the State puts it in. This is based on when the work starts. Discussion.

Fred stated that he and Michael had reviewed the agreement and it meets the standards. This goes along with the meeting held October 1988 on the Highway 38 Project. Agreement is on file.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept the agreement of State Highway 38 and the water problems, seconded by Sue W. Schuler, unanimous approval.

ORCHARD PARK

ORCHARD PARK

Michael Spencer Surveyor, presented Fee Proposal prices to provide field survey for the Orchard Park Legal Ditch Project. Earlier two different companies had presented prices for doing surveying work for the project. There was quite a bit of difference in the prices submitted so a more defined scope of work was presented to different companies and Michael has received the following submittals.

Todd Frauhiger read the Companies and their figures this is for the entire watershed area. This would include aerial mapping, contour map for the watershed, all existing pipes within the water shed, their reaches and sizes, inverts, the ravine system all the way down to the Wildcat creek.

Ticen Shulte and Associates	\$31,900.00
John E. Fisher	\$22,372.00
MTA	\$21,680.00
Vester's and Associates	\$24,990.00

The services that were included are:

Aerial Control Survey. Vertical and Horizontal survey to provide control for aerial mapping will be provided.

Establish Baselines. Baselines will be established, referenced, and tied to the horizontal mapping control. These base lines will follow, as closely as possible, the flow lines of the defined ravines.

Investigation of Existing Storm Sewer Facilities. Existing storm sewers and culverts within the watershed will be located, identified and surveyed for length and elevation. This information will be provided in the form of survey field notes. Aerial Mapping of the ravine will be provided, scribed on mylar. Contours will be at one foot intervals, scale will be 1"=100' or as other wise specified. Baselines will be superimposed on the mapping.

THE ITEMS READ ARE NEEDED FOR THE ENTIRE WATERSHED

Descriptions of Easements. Descriptions of proposed easements from each land owner involved will be provided. Easements will most likely be described as a horizontal distance beyond a specified elevation on the bank of the ravine.

Todd stated the quicker the surveyors could get started the better they could get a proper survey, each would like to get to it as soon as possible and no later than February as leaves will be starting and they can not get a true picture. One of the figures presented is only good through February. After that date it may increase the aerial photography figure. If it is delayed longer it could be late 1990 before work could be completed.

Time is needed to go through the presentations, Michael will come back at the next meeting with findings.

Meeting recessed until Tuesday January 9, 1990, January 9, 1990 meeting was re-scheduled for Wednesday January 17, 1990.

**TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
FEBRUARY 5, 1992**

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 5, 1992 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Keith E. McMillin calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Keith E. McMillin, Chairman, Nola J. Gentry and Hubert Yount, Tippecanoe County Commissioners, Michael J. Spencer, County Surveyor, Ilene Dailey, Chris Burke Consulting Engineers, J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney, and Dorothy M. Emerson, Executive Secretary Drainage Board.

The first item on the agenda was to approve to the minutes of the meeting for the last Drainage Board meeting on January 8, 1991. Nola Gentry moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Hubert Yount. Unanimously approved.

CARROLL COUNTY JOINT DRAIN

Mike Spencer, County Surveyor stated Keith McMillin and Hubert Yount needed to be appointed to the Carroll County Joint Drain for the Andrew and Mary Thomas Drains.

Nola Gentry motioned to appoint Keith McMillin and Hubert Yount to the Carroll County Joint Drain for the Andrew and Mary Thomas Drains.

Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

DRAINAGE BOARD ATTORNEY CONTRACT

Mike presented the Board with a contract for the Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman, that needed to be executed for 1992.

Hubert Yount moved to approve the contract between Tippecanoe County Drainage Board and J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for said group.

Nola J. Gentry, seconded. Motion carried.

ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCHES

Nola Gentry moved to include the active and inactive ditches into the February minutes and mail the appropriate notices to the surrounding counties. Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

The following is a list of the active and inactive ditch assessment list for 1992.

DRAINAGE BOARD ASSESSMENT LIST				
DITCH No.	DITCH	TOTAL 4 YEAR ASSESSMENT	1991	1992
1	Amstutz, John	\$5,008.00	Inactive	Inactive
2	Anderson, Jesse	\$15,675.52	Active	Active
3	Andrews, E.W.	\$2,566.80	Active	Active
4	Anson, Delphine	\$5,134.56	Active	Active
5	Baker, Dempsey	\$2,374.24	Inactive	Inactive
6	Baker, Newell	\$717.52	Inactive	Inactive
7	Ball, Nellie	\$1,329.12	Inactive	Inactive
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	\$8,537.44	Inactive	Inactive
9	H W Moore Lateral (Benton Co)			Active
10	Binder, Michael	\$4,388.96	Active	Active
11	Blickenstaff, John	\$7,092.80	Inactive	Inactive
12	Box, NW	\$11,650.24	Inactive	Inactive
13	Brown, A P	\$8,094.24	Active	Active
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co)		Active	Inactive
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	\$5,482.96	Inactive	Active
16	Byers, Orrin	\$5,258.88	Inactive	Inactive
17	Coe, Floyd	\$13,617.84	Inactive	Inactive
18	Coe, Train	\$3,338.56	Active	Inactive
19	Cole, Grant	\$4,113.92	Inactive	Inactive
20	County Farm	\$1,012.00	Active	Active
21	Cripe, Jesse	\$911.28	Inactive	Inactive
22	Daughtery, Charles E.	\$1,883.12	Active	Active
23	Devault, Fannie	\$3,766.80	Inactive	Inactive
25	Dunkin, Marion	\$9,536.08	Inactive	Inactive
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co)		Active	Active
27	Ellis, Thomas	\$1,642.40	Active	Inactive
28	Erwin, Martin V	\$656.72	Inactive	Inactive
29	Fassnacht, Christ	\$2,350.56	Inactive	Inactive
30	Fugate, Elijah	\$3,543.52	Inactive	Inactive
31	Gowen, Issac (White Co)		Inactive	Active
32	Gray, Martin	\$6,015.52	Active	Inactive
33	Grimes, Rebecca	\$3,363.52	Inactive	Inactive
34	Hafner, Fred	\$1,263.44	Active	Active
35	Haywood, E.F.	\$7,348.96	Active	Active
36	Haywood, Thomas	\$2,133.12	Active	Active
37	Harrison, Meadows	\$1,532.56	Inactive	Inactive
39	Inskeep, George	\$3,123.84	Inactive	Inactive
40	Jakes, Lewis	\$5,164.24	Inactive	Inactive
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	\$10,745.28	Inactive	Inactive

41 Johnson, E. Eugene	\$10,745.28	Inactive	Inactive
42 Kellerman, James	\$1,043.52	Active	Inactive
43 Kerschner, Floyd	\$1,844.20	Inactive	Inactive
44 Kirkpatrick, Amanda	\$2,677.36	Inactive	Inactive
45 Kirkpatrick, Frank	\$4,226.80	Active	Inactive
46 Kirkpatrick, James	\$16,637.76	Inactive	Active
47 Kuhns, John A	\$1,226.96	Active	Inactive
48 Lesley, Calvin	\$3,787.76	Inactive	Active
50 McCoy, John	\$2,194.72	Inactive	Inactive
51 McFarland, John	\$7,649.12	Active	Inactive
52 McKinny, Mary	\$4,287.52	Inactive	Inactive
53 Mahin, Wesley	\$3,467.68	Active	Active
54 Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)		Inactive	Inactive
55 Miller, Absalm	\$3,236.00	Inactive	Active
56 Montgomery, Ann	\$4,614.56	Active	Inactive
57 Morin, F.E.	\$1,434.72	Active	Active
58 Motsinger, Hester	\$2,000.00	Active	Active
59 O'Neal, J. Kelly	\$13,848.00	Active	Active
60 Oshier, Aduley	\$1,624.88	Active	Active
61 Parker, Lane	\$2,141.44	Inactive	Active
62 Parlon, James	\$1,649.96	Inactive	Active
63 Peters, Calvin	\$828.00	Inactive	Inactive
64 Rayman, Emmett (White Co)		Active	Active
65 Resor, Franklin	\$3,407.60	Inactive	Active
66 Rettereth, Peter	\$1,120.32	Inactive	Inactive
67 Rickerd, Aurther	\$1,064.80	Inactive	Inactive
68 Ross, Alexander	\$1,791.68	Inactive	Inactive
69 Sheperdson, James	\$1,536.72	Inactive	Inactive
70 Saltzman, John	\$5,740.96	Inactive	Inactive
71 Skinner, Ray	\$2,713.60	Active	Active
72 Smith, Abe	\$1,277.52	Active	Active
73 Southworth, Mary	\$558.08	Active	Active
74 Sterrett, Joseph C	\$478.32	Inactive	Active
75 Stewart, William	\$765.76	Inactive	Active
76 Swanson, Gustav	\$4,965.28	Active	Active
77 Taylor, Alonzo	\$1,466.96	Inactive	Inactive
78 Taylor, Jacob	\$4,616.08	Inactive	Inactive
79 Toohy, John	\$542.40	Inactive	Inactive
81 VanNatta, John	\$1,338.16	Inactive	Inactive
82 Wallace, Harrison B.	\$5,501.76	Inactive	Inactive
83 Walters, Sussana	\$972.24	Inactive	Inactive
84 Walters, William	\$8,361.52	Active	Active
85 Waples, McDill	\$5,478.08	Inactive	Active
86 Wilder, Lena	\$3,365.60	Inactive	Inactive
87 Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co)		Inactive	Inactive
88 Wilson, J & J	\$736.96	Inactive	Inactive
89 Yeager, Simeon	\$615.36	Active	Active
90 Yoe, Franklin	\$1,605.44	Inactive	Inactive
91 Dickens, Jesse	\$288.00	Inactive	Inactive
92 Jenkins	\$1,689.24	Inactive	Inactive
93 Dismal Creek	\$25,420.16	Active	Active
94 Shawnee Creek	\$6,639.28	Active	Active
95 Buetler/Gosma	\$19,002.24	Inactive	Active
96 Kirkpatrick One	\$6,832.16	Active	Inactive
97 McLaughlin, John	\$0.00	Inactive	Inactive
98 Hoffman, John	\$72,105.03	Active	Active
99 Brum, Sarah (Benton Co)		Active	Active
100 S.W.Elliott	\$227,772.24	Active	Active

DISCUSSION ON TILE BIDS

Mike Spencer presented a tile bid that had been inadvertently returned to the bidder. Fred Hoffman opened the bid.

Mike stated he had received two proposals for Professional Services on the Berlovitz Watershed Study, one from Christopher Burke Engineering and one from Ticen, Schulte and Associates. Mike recommended Christopher Burke Engineering the lowest bidder.

Nola moved to approve the proposal from Christopher Burke Engineering for the Berlovitz Ditch Study. Hubert, seconded. Motion carried.

JOHN HOFFMAN DRAIN

Mike stated to the Board that work will be done on the Hoffman Drain at a cost less than \$25,000.00. Since it was under \$25,000.00 Mike requested quotes be done on the project rather than bids since quotes are faster.

Mike read the proposal into the minutes.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board is interested in taking quotes for maintenance work on the John Hoffman Ditch, beginning at the tile outlet which is located along County Road 900 East just north of State Road 26 East.

Work will consist of dredging approximately 1000 feet of channel down stream of the tile outlet, cleaning out road culvert under 900 East. Then clearing trees over and along the tile for some 4000 feet to the east.

After the clearing all tile holes will be fixed and or wide joints patched, then the waterway over the tile will be graded as directed by the Surveyor. When all work is completed all disturbed areas will be seeded.

There will be a pre-quote site visit held at the site on February 19th, 1992 at 9:00 am.

Written quotes will be on a per foot basis for dredging, clearing and grading of waterway.

Tile repair will be on time and material basis. Seeding will be lump sum.

Quotes will be due on March 4th at 11:00 am in the Tippecanoe County Auditors Office.

For further information please contact the Tippecanoe County Surveyor, Mike Spencer at 423-9228.

Discussion followed.

Hubert Yount moved to accept quotes for the John Hoffman Drain. Nola, seconded. Motion carried.

HADLEY LAKE DRAIN

Mike stated that West Lafayette Wetland Delineation Study will be done on February 15. We need to have that before we advertise for the proposals for engineering work.

PINE VIEW FARMS

Roger Kottowski, Weitzel Engineering and Tom Stafford, Melody Homes presented their drainage plans for Pine View Farms to the Drainage Board.


Discussion followed.


Mike Spencer recommended preliminary approval to the Board.


Nola moved to grant preliminary approval contingent on completion of restrictions and receipt of the recorded easements or agreements.


Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

Being no further business, Hubert Yount moved to adjourn the Drainage Board meeting. The next regular scheduled meeting will March 4 at 8:30 AM and will reconvene at 11:00 AM for quotes on the John Hoffman Drain.


Keith E. McMillin, Chairman


Nola J. Gentry, Member


Hubert D. Yount, Member

ATTEST: 
Dorothy M. Emerson, Executive Secretary

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board
Minutes TRANSCRIPT
Regular Meeting
January 6, 1993

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 6, 1993 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana, with Nola Gentry calling the meeting to order for the re-organization of the Board. She then turned it over to J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney to preside.

Those present were: Nola J. Gentry, Hubert Yount, Bill Haan, Tippecanoe County Commissioners, Michael J. Spencer, County Surveyor, Ilene Dailey, Christopher Burke Consulting Engineer, J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney, Hans Peterson, Paul Elling, Project Engineers SEC Donohue, Greg Griffith, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, Josh Andrews, West Lafayette Development Director, Opal Kuhl, West Lafayette City Engineer, and Shelli Hoffine Drainage Board Executive Secretary.

J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney asked for nominations from the floor for the Board President. Commissioner Gentry nominated Commissioner Haan for President, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hoffman then turned the meeting over to Commissioner Haan to preside over the remainder of the meeting.

Commissioner Haan asked for nominations from the floor for the Board Vice President. Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry for Vice President, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Haan asked for nominations from the floor for the Board Executive Secretary. Commissioner Gentry nominated Shelli Hoffine for Executive Secretary, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes of the meeting for the Drainage Board meeting on December 2, 1992. Hubert Yount moved to approve the minutes of December 2, 1992, seconded by Commissioner Gentry. Unanimously approved.

Hire the Attorney

Commissioner Gentry moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for the Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Motion carried.

Active and Inactive Ditches for 1993

Mr. Hoffman suggested putting the active and inactive ditches in the January minutes. Mr. Hoffman also read them aloud to the Board.

ACTIVE DITCHES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Names</u>
2	Anderson, Jesse
3	Andrews, E.W.
4	Anson, Delphine
9	See #103
12	Box, N.W.
13	Brown, Andrew
18	Coe, Train
20	County Farm
22	Daughtery, Charles
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.)
29	Fassnacht, Christ
34	Haffner, Fred
35	Haywood, E.F.
37	Harrison Meadows
38	Ilgenfritz, George (combined with Dismal)
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank
46	Kirkpatrick, James
48	Lesley, Calvin
49	Lucas, Luther (combined with Dismal)
53	Mahin, Wesley
55	Miller, Absalom
57	Morin, F.E.
58	Motsinger, Hester
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly
60	Oshier, Aduley
61	Parker Lane
62	Parlon, James, (combined with Shawnee)
65	Resor, Franklin
71	Skinner, Ray
72	Smith, Abe
73	Southworth, Mary
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.
76	Swanson, Gustav

- 84 Walters, William
- 89 Yeager, Simeon
- 91 Dickens, Jesse
- 93 Dismal Creek
- 94 Shawnee Creek
- 95 Buetler, Gosma
- 98 See #101
- 99 See #102
- 100 Elliott, S.W.
- 101 Hoffman, John
- 102 Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)
- 103 Moore H.W. (Benton Co)

INACTIVE DITCHES

<u>Number</u>	<u>Names</u>
1	Amstutz, John
5	Baker, Dempsey
6	Baker, Newell
7	Bell, Nellie
8	Berlovitz, Julius
10	Binder, Michael
11	Blickenstaff, John M.
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)
15	Burkhalter, Alfred
16	Byers, Orin J.
17	Coe, Floyd
19	Cole Grant
21	Cripe, Jesse
23	Devault, Fannie
24	Deer Creek
25	Dunkin, Marion
27	Ellis, Thomas
28	Erwin, Martin
30	Fugate, Elijah
31	Gowen, Isaac (White Co.)
32	Gray, Martin
33	Grimes, Rebecca
36	Haywood, Thomas
39	Inskeep, George
40	Jakes, Lewis
41	Johnson, E. Eugene
42	Kellerman, James
43	Kerschner, F.S.
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda
47	Kuhns, John
50	McCoy, John
51	McFarland, John
52	McKinney, Mary
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)
56	Montgomery, Ann
63	Peters, Calvin
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)
66	Rettereth, Peter
67	Rickerd, Arthur
68	Ross, Alexander
69	Sheperdson, J.A.
70	Saltzman, John
75	Stewart, William
77	Taylor, Alonzo
78	Taylor, Jacob
79	Toohey, John
81	Van Natta, John
82	Wallace, Harrison
83	Walters, Sussana
85	Waples, McDill
86	Wilder, Lena
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)
88	Wilson, J & J
90	Yoe, Franklin
92	Jenkins
96	Kirpatrick One
97	McLaughlin, John

Storm Water Drainage Improvement Plan

Hans Peterson and Paul Elling from SEC Donohue presented the Stormwater Drainage Improvement Plan for the Cuppy-McClure watershed. Mr. Peterson discussed the project overview and objectives, project design criteria and constraints, hydrologic/hydraulic analysis, alternative improvements and recommendations, permits, and the schedule.

Mr Peterson discussed the alternative improvements.

Alternative #1 Low flow pipe and high flow channel.

The cost of the low flow pipe and high flow channel - \$930,000.00

The pipe in this alternative would be two to three feet deep under the ground from the Celery Bog to U.S. 52 then opens up and flows under US 52 with the existing pipe, then drops down into another pipe and flows on down to Hadley Lake.

Mr. Hoffman asked how big the pipe would be?

Mr. Peterson answered the pipe ranges in size from 36 inches to 42 inches.

Alternative #2 All pipe improvements.

The cost of all pipe improvements - \$1,570,000.00

Pipe size ranges from 54 inches to 60 inches.

This alternative would run completely under the ground from Celery Bog to Hadley Lake that is the main reason for the high cost. Mr. Peterson said this would look the nicest after it is complete.

Alternative #3 All channel improvements.

The cost of all channel improvements - \$755,000.00

This alternative does not have any pipe. It is a standard open channel all the way from Celery Bog down to Hadley Lake. There would have to be a concrete lining treatment at the bottom of the channel.

Mr. Peterson recommended alternative was #1 the low flow pipe and high flow channel.

Mr. Hoffman asked on these changes of easement are they giving and taking from the same landowners or taking from some landowners and giving others?

Mr. Peterson said based on the assessment map that we have, it is generally give and take on the same properties except for one parcel. Parcel #13 looks like we are taking.

Mr. Hoffman assumed there will be a petition for reconstruction to make those changes in easement.

Commissioner Gentry answered there will be a reconstruction hearing.

Discussion followed.

Bening no further business Commissioner Gentry moved to adjourn until February 3, 1993 at 8:30 a.m., seconded by Hubert Yount.

Meeting adjourned.


William D. Haan, President


Nola Gentry, Vice President


Hubert Yount, Member

ATTES: 
Shelli Hoffine, Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 5, 1994

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday January 5, 1994 in the Community meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, Hubert D. Yount; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman; Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Jon Stolz and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Hoffine.

ELECTION OF 1994 OFFICERS

Mr. Hoffman asked nominations for the President of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board. Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hoffman turned the meeting over to Commissioner Gentry to preside.

Commissioner Gentry asked nominations for Vice President of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board. Commissioner Gentry nominated Commissioner Haan, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

-APPOINTMENTS-

Commissioner Haan moved to appoint Shelli Hoffine for Executive Secretary of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Haan moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board pending an agreement of a contract, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to extend the existing contract into 1994 for Christopher Burke Engineering, LTD. to provide engineering services to the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board pending review of the contract, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

-MEETING DATES FOR 1994-

January 5, 1994	July 6, 1994
February 2, 1994	August 3, 1994
March 9, 1994	September 7, 1994
April 6, 1994	October 5, 1994
May 4, 1994	November 2, 1994
June 1, 1994	December 7, 1994

Commissioner Haan moved to accept the meeting dates for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved approve the minutes from the last Drainage Board meeting held December 1, 1993. Seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

Joe Bumbleburg asked the Board to approve a resolution for vacation of a drainage easement located on a part of lot 5 in Capilano By the Lake Subdivision, Phase I. The drainage easement ended up in the middle of lot 5 when it was replatted.

Mr. Spencer stated he has been out to the site, Mr. Cunningham of Vester and Associates checked the easement and it definitely will not cause a problem with the lot or any of the adjoining lots. Mr. Spencer recommended the vacation of the drainage easement in lot 5, Capilano By the Lake Subdivision, Phase I.

The petition and the resolution to vacate a portion of a drainage easement on lot 5, Capilano by the lake subdivision, Phase I is on file in the Tippecanoe County Surveyor's Office.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve the resolution to vacate a portion of an easement on lot number 5, Capilano by the Lake Subdivision, Phase I, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved

HAWKS NEST SUBDIVISION, PHASE I

Greg Hall, Intercon Engineering, asked the Board for final approval of Hawks Nest Subdivision, Phase I and the detention ponds for the entire project. Mr. Hall also, requested a variance for exceeding the four foot of depth in Basin A.

Mr. Spencer stated he recommended approval of Phase I and the detention ponds.

Mr. Hall stated there will be eighteen lots in Phase I, one detention basin will be located in this phase.

Commissioner Haan asked if the permits from the IDNR have been processed?

Mr. Stolz stated that the portion that was requiring a permit has been moved from the floodplain and no longer requires a permit.

Commissioner Yount moved to grant the variance to exceed the maximum four foot depth in Basin A, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to grant final approval of Hawks Nest Subdivision, Phase I and the detention basin for the entire project, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

TRIPLE J POINTE SUBDIVISION

Bob Grove, representing Smith Enterprises, asked for preliminary approval of Triple J Pointe Subdivision, which involves fifteen acres with 75 lots, located off Old Romney Road and County Road 250 South. The proposal is to detain the water offsite which will hold seventy two acres of offsite runoff, then take the ten year flow through the subdivision to a basin that will hold the 15 acres of developed subdivision, a pipe will carry the runoff from the basin to an existing structure of Ashton Woods Subdivision detention system. The ditch will be used as overflow for runoff that exceeds the 10 year flow.

Commissioner Yount asked if pipe along Old Romney Road would be in the road right-of-way if so, has the County Highway Department approved a permit for the pipe?

Mr. Grove stated yes, we are proposing to put the pipe in the right-of-way and no, we have not obtained a permit from the Highway Department.

Mr. Spencer stated the Highway Department has a set of plans, but he has not heard a report from them.

Commissioner Yount asked about the use of the pond offsite easement?

Mr. Grove stated that G. Mark Smith will be preparing an agreement for the easement.

Mr. Spencer stated John Fisher did a drainage study of the Wea-Ton drainage area, in the report it shows the watershed area delineated certain runoff values for sub-areas within the watershed area. Ashton Woods kept in compliance with the idea for sub-areas to be within the watershed area, at that time, the Board accepted the idea. Ashton Woods created an outlet for the Wea-Ton watershed area and during construction they have created the outlet channel and incorporated their storage area with Old Romney Heights storage area. In the study, there are recommendation about how water moves to the east as development progresses. A pipe was sized under Old Romney Road at the end of the channel to pick up water to the east. Triple J Pointe Subdivision does not comply with this idea as far as construction of proper pipe size under Old Romney Road to convey the water from the east.

Mr. Grove stated Smith Enterprises asked John Fisher for the drainage study, but were not able to obtain a copy. It was decided to make an alternate route from the project's outlet to go along the east side of Old Romney Road in an easement just outside the right-of-way, provide a manhole and a crossing based on a 10 year predeveloped flow from the Wea-Ton area.

Commissioner Gentry suggested getting a meeting set up between the Commissioners, the Surveyor, Smith Enterprises, Mr. Gloyeske, and Mr. Fisher.

Commissioner Yount moved to continue Triple J Pointe Subdivision with Mr. Grove's consent until after the above meeting has been held, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

HARRISON & MCCUTCHEON HIGH SCHOOLS IMPROVEMENTS

Kyle Miller, Triad and Associates, presented the Board with the plans to improve Harrison High School and McCutcheon High School. Harrison and McCutcheon will be adding approximately one acre of roof to the existing structures over what is now parking lot signifying no increase in the volume of runoff for either plan. Harrison's storm sewer pipes run around the perimeter of the school, some of the pipe are undersized and will be replaced along with all new pipe to go around the perimeter of the constructed area. All roof drainage will run into the storm sewer then to an existing pipe and discharge into the **Cole Ditch/"Burnett Creek"**. Mr. Miller indicated a portion of one existing outfall pipe will be replaced and a permit from the IDNR is required for construction in the floodway area.

Commissioner Gentry asked what the design is of the outfall pipe into the creek?

Mr. Miller stated there will an end section on the pipe and that rip-rap will be placed on both sides of the banks.

Mr. Miller explained that McCutcheon High School storm sewer pipes run the perimeter of the existing structure and outlets into the **Wea Creek**. The

improvements will replace what is now asphalt and the storm sewer pipe around the perimeter of the constructed area.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve Harrison High School's final improvement plan subject to the approval of the permit from the IDNR, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve McCutcheon High School's final drainage improvement plan, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

ACTIVE DITCHES FOR 1994

Ditch No.	Ditch Name	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
2	Anderson, Jesse	\$15793.76	\$11549.19
3	Andrews, E.W.	2566.80	987.71
4	Anson, Delphine	5122.56	1365.36
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	8537.44	7288.07
13	Brown, Andrew	8094.24	4625.60
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)		
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	5482.96	4285.72
20	County Farm	1012.00	(994.25)
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.)		
27	Ellis, Thomas	1642.40	760.68
29	Fassnacht, Christ	2350.56	965.04
31	Gowen, Issac (White Co.)		
33	Grimes, Rebecca	3363.52	3357.75
37	Harrison Meadows	1532.56	-0-
48	Lesley, Calvin	3787.76	1622.08
53	Mahin, Wesley	3467.68	2864.18
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)		
57	Morin, F.E.	1434.72	-0-
58	Motsinger, Hester	2000.00	1090.53
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	13848.00	7398.17
60	Oshier, Aduley	1624.88	-0-
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)		
67	Rickerd, Arthur	1064.80	842.58
71	Skinner, Ray	2713.60	(64.53)
72	Smith, Abe	1277.52	1053.33
73	Southworth, Mary	558.08	314.04
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.	478.32	-0-
76	Swanson, Gustav	4965.28	(1473.83)
84	Walters, William	8361.52	6716.94
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)		
89	Yeager, Simeon	615.36	342.15
91	Dickens, Jesse	288.00	-0-
93	Dismal Creek	25420.16	86.15
94	Shawnee Creek	6639.28	-0-
95	Buetler, Gosma	19002.24	16368.00
100	Elliott, S.W.	227772.24	76956.82
101	Hoffman, John	72105.03	34631.86
102	Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)		
103	Moore H.W. (Benton Co)		
104	Hadley Lake	65344.56	4402.77
105	Thomas, Mary (Carroll Co)		
106	Arbegust-Young (Clinton Co)		

INACTIVE DITCHES FOR 1994

Ditch No.	Ditch Names	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
1	Amstutz, John	\$5008.00	\$5566.86
5	Baker, Dempsey	2374.24	2814.71
6	Baker, Newell	717.52	2016.73
7	Bell, Nellie	1329.12	2077.51
10	Binder, Michael	4388.96	5513.73
11	Blickenstaff, John M.	7092.80	7994.87
12	Box, N.W.	11650.24	15333.92
16	Byers, Orin J.	5258.88	7337.50
17	Coe, Floyd	13617.84	18262.88
18	Coe, Train	3338.56	7923.36
19	Cole Grant	4113.92	9940.56
21	Cripe, Jesse	911.28	1557.87
22	Daughtery, Charles	1883.12	2290.95
23	Devault, Fannie	3766.80	7764.58
25	Dunkin, Marion	9536.08	12390.41
28	Erwin, Martin	656.72	1095.68
30	Fugate, Elijah	3543.52	5114.39
32	Gray, Martin	6015.52	8253.80
34	Hafner, Fred	1263.44	1559.07
35	Haywood, E.F.	7348.96	7564.29
36	Haywood, Thomas	2133.12	2799.85
39	Inskeep, George	3123.84	7655.03
40	Jakes, Lewis	5164.24	6026.73
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	10745.28	14592.35
42	Kellerman, James	1043.52	1063.29
43	Kerschner, F.S.	1844.20	4618.29
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	2677.36	3110.15
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	4226.80	4440.35
46	Kirkpatrick, James	16637.76	16816.54
47	Kuhns, John	1226.96	1528.87
50	McCoy, John	2194.72	3182.80
51	McFarland, John	7649.12	8766.27
52	McKinney, Mary	4287.52	5791.10
55	Miller, Absalm	3236.00	5168.30
56	Montgomery, Ann	4614.56	5250.77
61	Parker Lane	2141.44	3261.19
63	Peters, Calvin	828.00	2327.12
65	Resor, Franklin	3407.60	5659.22
66	Rettereth, Peter	1120.32	1975.43
68	Ross, Alexander	1791.68	3895.39
69	Sheperdson, J.A.	1536.72	3609.60
70	Saltzman, John	5740.96	6920.20
75	Stewart, William	765.76	900.58
77	Taylor, Alonzo	1466.96	3447.90
78	Taylor, Jacob	4616.08	6544.52
79	Toohey, John	542.40	1069.50
81	Van Natta, John	1338.16	2714.51
82	Wallace, Harrison	5501.76	6573.81
83	Walters, Sussana	972.24	2061.09
85	Waples, McDill	5478.08	9188.51
86	Wilder, Lena	3365.60	4921.20
88	Wilson, J & J	736.96	5639.22

90	Yoe, Franklin	1605.44	2509.75
92	Jenkins	1689.24	2549.43
96	Kirpatrick One	6832.16	11352.18
97	McLaughlin, John		

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Spencer asked if section six, letter F of the Drainage Ordinance, Submittal and Consideration of Plans, could be clarified to clear up questions pertain to the twenty days submittal deadline being twenty working days or twenty calendar days.

Commissioner Yount suggested changing the twenty days to thirty calendar days and requiring a review memo from the County Engineering Consultant to the petitioner, ten days prior to the hearing date.

Mr. Hoffman stated he will write an amendment to the Drainage Ordinance, letter F in section six, Submittal and Consideration of Plans, to change the twenty days submittal to thirty calendars days and the Surveyor will make a report to the petitioners not less than ten days prior to the hearing date.

GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL

Mr. Spencer stated all the landowners along the proposed channel have been informed of the Great Lakes project, the County has a complete set of construction plans, a drainage report, and Army Corp of Engineers permit. The County does not have IDNR or the IDEM, but those have been filed and should be approved soon. Ken Baldwin had some question for insurance reasons on fencing around the sediment basin before the water goes into **Hadley Lake**. The County will contribute \$700,000.00 dollars out of that the County has spent approx \$150,000.00 on Engineering, the Engineer's construction estimate is 1,040,000.00.

Commissioner Gentry asked what the time table is on advertising for reconstruction, and does the project have to be advertised before the bidding or concurrent with the bid process?

Mr. Hoffman stated the advertising has to be done before the bid processing. The County would have to give thirty to forty day notice and then have the hearing, if approved the bidding can go out, all that together would take about three months.

Judy Rhodes asked if there was any legal document showing West Lafayette committing to an agreement of participation in this project?

Commissioner Gentry stated that the County has a signed worksheet by Nola J. Gentry and Mayor Sonya Margerum showing the break down of contribution between the State of Indiana, Tippecanoe County and the City of West Lafayette for Great Lakes Chemical Corporation/**Cuppy McClure** watershed project

Ms. Rhodes asked and received a copy of the worksheet.

Being no further business Commissioner Yount moved to adjourn until February 2, 1994, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
 REGULAR MEETING
 FEBRUARY 1, 1995

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday February 1, 1995 in the Community meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, Gene Jones; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney pro-tem David Luhman; and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the last Drainage Board Meeting held January 4, 1995. Commissioner Gentry moved to approve the minutes, Seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH LIST 1995

Mr. Luhman read the active ditch list into the minutes.

Ditch No.	Ditch Name	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
2	Anderson, Jesse	15793.76	\$15745.45
3	Andrews, E.W.	2566.80	1385.41
4	Anson, Delphine	5122.56	1302.37
13	Brown, Andrew	8094.24	5365.93
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)		
16	Byers, Orrin	5258.88	4453.68
18	Coe Train	3338.56	112.19
20	County Farm	1012.00	(724.45)
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.)		
27	Ellis, Thomas	1642.40	874.96
29	Fassnacht, Christ	2350.56	630.15
31	Gowen, Issac (White Co.)		
33	Grimes, Rebecca	3363.52	(5780.23)
35	Haywood, E.F.	7348.96	6405.57
37	Harrison Meadows	1532.56	399.99
42	Kellerman, James	1043.52	513.73
46	Kirkpatrick, James	16637.76	13804.40
48	Lesley, Calvin	3787.76	511.43
51	McFarland, John	7649.12	6823.11
52	McKinney, Mary	4287.52	2344.53
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)		
57	Morin, F.E.	1434.72	264.90
58	Motsinger, Hester	2000.00	184.36
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	13848.00	9902.13
60	Oshier, Aduley	1624.88	429.56
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)		
65	Reser, Franklin	3407.60	(1799.25)
71	Skinner, Ray	2713.60	2003.50
73	Southworth, Mary	558.08	470.62
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.	478.32	120.35
76	Swanson, Gustav	4965.28	(314.21)
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)		
89	Yeager, Simeon	615.36	515.63

91	Dickens, Jesse	288.00	93.96
93	Dismal Creek	25420.16	5408.64
94	Shawnee Creek	6639.28	1004.91
100	Elliott, S.W.	227772.24	95756.64
102	Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)		
103	Moore H.W. (Benton Co)		
104	Hadley Lake	65344.56	15588.62
105	Thomas, Mary (Carroll Co)		
106	Arbegust-Young (Clinton Co)		

Mr. Luhman read the inactive ditch list into the minutes

Ditch No.	Ditch Names	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
1	Amstutz, John	\$5008.00	\$5797.94
5	Baker, Dempsey	2374.24	2931.55
6	Baker, Newell	717.52	2100.45
7	Bell, Nellie	1329.12	2163.76
8	Berlowitz, Julius	8537.44	9835.71
10	Binder, Michael	4388.96	4844.52
11	Blickenstaff, John M.	7092.80	7352.92
12	Box, N.W.	11650.24	14523.89
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	5482.96	5661.22
17	Coe, Floyd	13617.84	19021.00
19	Cole Grant	4113.92	10353.24
21	Cripe, Jesse	911.28	1622.55
22	Daughtery, Charles	1883.12	2386.04
23	Devault, Fannie	3766.80	8086.91
25	Dunkin, Marion	9536.08	11422.15
28	Erwin, Martin	656.72	1141.16
30	Fugate, Elijah	3543.52	5326.70
32	Gray, Martin	6015.52	6440.23
34	Hafner, Fred	1263.44	1380.75
36	Haywood, Thomas	2133.12	2916.09
39	Inskeep, George	3123.84	7972.80
40	Jakes, Lewis	5164.24	5493.58
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	10745.28	13692.14
43	Kerschner, F.S.	1844.20	4165.28
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	2677.36	3239.28
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	4226.80	4754.52
47	Kuhns, John	1226.96	1592.33
50	McCoy, John	2194.72	3185.39
53	Mahin, Wesley	3467.68	3878.12
55	Miller, Absalm	3236.00	5382.84
56	Montgomery, Ann	4614.56	5468.74
61	Parker Lane	2141.44	3276.36
63	Peters, Calvin	828.00	2423.73
66	Rettereth, Peter	1120.32	2057.43
67	Rickerd, Arthur	1064.80	1148.17
68	Ross, Alexander	1791.68	4057.08
69	Sheperdson, J.A.	1536.72	3759.44
70	Saltzman, John	5740.96	7207.47

72	Smith, Abe	1277.52	1430.16
75	Stewart, William	765.76	937.96
77	Taylor, Alonzo	1466.96	3591.02
78	Taylor, Jacob	4616.08	6759.96
79	Toohy, John	542.40	1113.90
81	Van Natta, John	1338.16	2827.20
82	Wallace, Harrison	5501.76	6195.61
83	Walters, Sussana	972.24	2146.65
84	Walters, William	8361.52	8906.49
85	Waples, McDill	5478.08	9569.95
86	Wilder, Lena	3365.60	5125.49
88	Wilson, J & J	736.96	5873.30
90	Yoe, Franklin	1605.44	2613.93
92	Jenkins	1689.24	2655.25
95	Butler-Gosma	19002.24	20988.51
96	Kirkpatrick One	6832.16	11653.93
97	McLaughlin, John		
101	Hoffman, John	72105.03	55880.51

Mr. Spencer stated the John Hoffman Ditch is on a three year assessment which started in 1991 with a ten dollar an acre assessment. It is now necessary for the Board to schedule a meeting between Clinton, Carroll and Tippecanoe Counties to reduce the assessment.

Commissioner Haan appointed himself and Commissioner Gentry to serve on the Tri County Board.

CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING CONTRACT

Mr. Luhman stated after reviewing the original contract from Christopher B. Burke Engineering a few items were discussed and changes were made. The contract was revised with one exception on page 6 paragraph 24. The suggested revision was if a contractor was doing work based upon the Engineers plans the contractor would indemnify Burke for any damages to Burke because of the contractors negligence. Also suggested was to include Burke as a named insured on the insurance policy. Mr. Luhman explained the main reason for the suggestion was so the County and Christopher B. Burke Engineering would not be held liable.

Commissioner Gentry moved to approve the contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LTD., and authorize the President of the Board to sign the contract, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Spencer presented the Board with the reforestation proposal for the Cuppy-McClure Drain, which will comply with the DNR requirements for a 2 to 1 mitigation on tree removal. The Parks Department for the City of West Lafayette suggested sites for the trees replacement. Mr. Spencer explained he wanted the Board to be aware of the progress and that Mr. Ditzler of J.F. New will submit the plan to Dan Ernst of the Indiana Department of Natural Resources.

Being no further business, Commissioner Gentry moved to adjourn until March 1, 1995, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 3, 1996

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday January 3, 1996 in the Commissioners Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Courthouse, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, and Gene Jones; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman; Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger, and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

The first item on the agenda was to elect new officers for 1996.

Mr. Hoffman opened the floor to nominations for President.

Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry.

Commissioner Haan moved to close nominations for president, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried, Commissioner Gentry was elected.

Mr. Hoffman turned the meeting over to the President.

Commissioner Gentry asked for nominations for Vice President.

Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Jones for Vice President.

Commissioner Haan moved to close nominations for Vice President, Commissioner Gentry seconded. Motion carried, Commissioner Jones was elected.

APPOINTMENTS TO THE BOARD

The next item on the agenda is to renew the contracts with Hoffman, Luhman & Busch as the law firm.

Commissioner Haan moved to renew the 1995 contract with Hoffman, Luhman and Busch, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Mr. Spencer presented the Board with two proposals for the contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering Limited.

- 1) A proposal for professional engineering services on a varied rate depending on specified standard charges.
- 2) a proposal for professional engineering services on a fixed rate of \$50.00 per hour.

Commissioner Gentry asked for a report on the number of engineering review hours in 1995 for all the projects submitted in 1995. The discussion of which contract to be used will be continued at the February meeting.

Commissioner Haan moved to extend the 1995 contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering Limited for one month into 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to reappoint Shelli Muller as Drainage Board Secretary for 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

1996 ACTIVE/INACTIVE DITCH LIST

Mr. Hoffman asked for the active and inactive ditches to be placed in the minutes.

Commissioner Haan moved to place the 1996 active/inactive ditch list the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

1996 - ACTIVE/INACTIVE DITCH LIST

ACTIVE

E.W. ANDREW, ANSON-DEPHINE, JULIUS BERLOWITZ, BEUTLER-GOSMA, ANDREW BROWN, TRAIN COE, COUNTY FARM, THOMAS ELLIS, FASSNACHT-CRIST, REBECCA GRIMES, HARRISON MEADOWS, EUGENE JOHNSON, JAMES KELLERMAN, AMANDA KIRKPATRICK, FRANK KIRKPATRICK, JAMES KIRKPATRICK, CALVIN LESLEY, MARY MCKINNEY, F.E. MORIN, KESTER MOTSINGER, J. KELLY O'NEAL, AUDLEY OSHIER, FRANKLIN RESER, SKINNER RAY, JOSEPH STERRETT, GUSTAV SWANSON, JACOB TAYLOR, JESSE DICKENS, DISMAL CREEK, SHAWNEE CREEK, SAMUEL ELLIOTT, JOHN HOFFMAN, BUCK CREEK, DARBY-WETHERHILL, ISSAC GOWEN, SAMUEL MARSH, EMMETT RAYMAN, WILSON-NIXON, SOPHIA BRUMM, H.W. MOORE, MARY THOMAS, ARBEGUST-YOUNG

INACTIVE

JOHN AMSTUZ, JESSE ANDERSON, DEMPSEY BAKER, BAKER VS NEWELL, NELLIE BALL, MICHAEL BINDER, JOHN BLICKENSTAFF, NATHANIEL BOX, ALFRED BURKHALTER, ORIN BYERS, FLOYD COE, GRANT COLE, JESSE CRIPE, CHARLES DAUGHERTY, FANNIE DEVAULT, MARION DUNKIN, MARTIN ERVIN, ELIJAH FUGATE, MARTIN GRAY, FRED HAFNER, E.F. HAYWOOD, THOMAS HAYWOOD, GEORGE INSKEEP, LEWIS JAKES, FLOYD KERSCHNER, JOHN KUHN, JOHN MCCOY, JOHN MCFARLAND, WESLEY MAHIN, ABSOLEM MILLER, ANN MONTGOMERY, PARKER LANE, CALVIN PETER, PETER RETTERETH, ARTHUR RICHERD, ALEXANDER ROSS, JAMES SHEPHERDSON, JOHN SALZMAN, ABE SMITH, MARY SOUTHWORTH, WILLIAM STEWART, ALONZO TAYLOR, JOHN TOOHEY, JOHN VANNATTA, HARRISON WALLACE, SUSSANA WALTERS, WILLIAM WALTERS, WAPLES-MCDILL, LENA WILDER, J&J WILSON, SIMEON YEAGER, FRANKLIN YOE, JENKINS, KIRKPATRICK ONE, MCLAUGHLIN, JOHN HOFFMAN

Commissioner Gentry mentioned the ditches that are in red:

COUNTY FARM, REBECCA GRIMES, FRANKLIN RESER, GUSTAV SWANSON

Mr. Spencer read a letter he received from Betty J. Michael.

"December 29, 1995

Nola J. Gentry, President
Board of Commissioners

Michael J. Spencer
County Surveyor

Re: Interest on Drainage Funds

At the Fall County Auditor's Conference held by the State Board of Accounts, a session was held concerning drainage ditches, charges, billings, investments, interest, etc.

The County Board of Accounts supervisors instructed the Auditors and personnel concerning the above issues. We were informed that most Counties put interest earned on Drainage funds into the County General Fund since County general pays for expenses such as tax bills, Surveyor and Drainage Board Budgets.

An alternative in some cases is to credit this interest to the County Drain Fund (unapportioned). When we inquired about the feasibility of apportioning the monthly interest into more than 100 separate drainage funds, the answer was a dead silence of incredibility that this was being done.

We have double-checked this information with District Board of Accounts personnel and have been told that there is nothing in the statutes that mandates interest should go into each Drain fund or even into the County General Drain Fund.

Therefore, as of January 1, 1996, we will be willing to allocate the monthly interest to either the General Drain Fund or to the County General Fund but NOT to each individual Drain account. Please let me know your preference.

Sincerely,

Betty J. Michael"

Mr. Hoffman stated the ditches are trust funds and the landowners in the watershed areas know the ditches are earning interest, it would not be appropriate to discontinue the investment.

Commissioner Haan moved to direct Mr. Hoffman to write a letter stating per the agreement that was made when the ditches were established the interest was to be allocated, but the Board is willing to distribute the interest on a semimonthly bases to coincide with the spring & fall settlements, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to approve the 1996 Drainage Board schedule, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Commissioner Haan moved to approve the minutes from the December 6, 1995 Drainage Board meeting, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

BRENTWOOD COMMUNITY

Mr. Spencer stated Brentwood Manufacture Home Community is located off US52 West, South of the Elk's Country Club. They asked for preliminary drainage approval, which he recommended as long as the IDNR approved the construction within a floodway. There are approximately 280 lots on 60 acres with a dry bottom retention pond.

Mr. Spencer explained the retention pond does not comply with the Ordinance therefore the developer is asking for a variance. The Ordinance requires a 48 hour discharge time, the plans actual peak discharge is closer to 75 hours.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant preliminary approval to Brentwood Community contingent on the approval of construction in a floodway from IDNR, revised calculations and the request for the variance to the Ordinance, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

SOUTHERN MEADOWS

Mr. Spencer recommended granting Southern Meadows Subdivision final approval. The development is located at the corner of South 18th Street and 350 South within the City of Lafayette. Mr. Spencer explained the development needs approval from the County Drainage Board because it drains to the Elliott Ditch. At the Urban review meetings it was determined any development below the railroad tracks draining into Elliott Ditch would be allowed to direct release into the Ditch without onsite detention. The development includes a water amenity onsite, which water will flow into and out, but is not being planned as a detention pond and does not comply with the requirements of the Ordinance. Mr. Spencer had a question as to whether or not the pond would have to comply with the requirements of the Ordinance.

Mr. Hoffman stated the pond would not have to meet the Ordinance requirements as long as it does not affect the drainage.

Mr. Spencer explained the site drains to the pond.

Commissioner Haan stated if the majority of the site drains to the pond it is a retention pond and should meet the requirements of the Ordinance.

Ron Miller, Schneider Engineering, stated the current discharge in a one hour storm duration to Elliott is 2.7 hours. With the installation of a 42 inch pipe draining from the water amenity discharge into the Elliott in a one hour storm will be a little over an hour.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant final approval of Southern Meadows Subdivision with the condition the pond meets the Drainage Board Ordinance requirement for a non-fenced pond, seconded Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

VILLAGE PANTRY #564R

Mr. Spencer introduced Village Pantry #564R, which is located at the corner of Brady and Concord, East of the existing Village Pantry. Weihe Engineering submitted final drainage plans and after the review it was recommended to grant final approval with the variance of a 12 inch pipe to a 10 inch concrete pipe for the outfall of the proposed detention area in order to limit the discharge.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant the variance of the Ordinance from a 12 inch required pipe to a 10 inch proposed pipe, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant final approval of Village Pantry #564R, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

PETITION TO ESTABLISH O'FERRALL LEGAL DRAIN

Mr. Hoffman excused himself from the meeting 9:45 a.m.

Mr. Spencer asked the Board to acknowledge the petition to establish the O'Ferrall Legal Drain, branch of the Alexander Ross Ditch as a valid petition.

Commissioner Haan moved to acknowledge the petition as a valid petition to establish the O'Ferrall Legal Drain, branch of the Alexander Ross Ditch and the petition represents over 10 percent of the effect landowners, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Mr. Hoffman returned to the meeting at 9:57 a.m.

ALEXANDER ROSS DITCH EASEMENT REDUCTION

Mr. Spencer explained on the Meijer site two branches of the Alexander Ross Ditch were described, one on the Southeast corner of the site and the other along the West side of the site. After the construction of the site it was discovered the pipe described along the West side of the site is not actually on the Meijer site. Meijer is asking the description of the pipe on the West side be corrected and the easement on the Southeast corner be reduced from 75 feet to 25 feet center of the pipe either side.

Mr. Hoffman stated Mr. Spencer will have to define the easement as only being on the Southeast corner of the site and redefine the easement on the West side of the property.

Commissioner Haan moved to reduce the easement of the Alexander Ross Ditch located at the Southeast corner of the Meijer site from 75 feet to 25 feet either side of the center of the pipe, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to direct Mr. Spencer to correct the Survey maps to show the actual location of the Alexander Ross Ditch and document that the ditch does not run through the West side of the Meijer property, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Gentry asked Mr. Spencer to do a field check on the erosion of the Alexander Ross Ditch bank behind Meadowbrook Subdivision.

SANWIN APARTMENTS

Bob Grove presented the Board with Sanwin Apartments drainage plan and asked for preliminary approval. Located North of US52 West and East of County Road 250 West, the site consist of 3.11 acres and is planned to include a multi-family development with 63 units and a commercial area along the highway. After review from Christopher B. Burke Engineering consultant a revised preliminary plan was submitted addressing the concerns of the memo. The majority of the site, in the

revised plan, drains to the Northeast and Ken Baldwin will provide a 20 foot easement for a 12 inch outlet pipe that runs from the Northeast corner of the site to the existing McClure Ditch.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant preliminary approval of Sanwin Apartments, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Cuppy-McClure - update

Mr. Spencer stated the notices for the hearing to be held February 7, 1996 on the reconstruction of the Cuppy-McClure Drain were sent January 2, 1996.

Mr. Spencer stated RUST Environmental & Infrastructure has submitted several proposals for construction inspection.

Commissioner Gentry suggested Mr. Spencer get other bids for the construction inspection or consider in-house inspections.

Being no further business Commissioner Haan moved to adjourn until February 7, 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

DRAINAGE BOARD MINUTES JANUARY 3, 1996 REGULAR MEETING

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
 REGULAR MEETING
 FEBRUARY 5, 1997

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday February 5, 1997 in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Hudson calling the meeting to order.

Those present: Tippecanoe County Commissioners Kathleen Hudson and Gene Jones, Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer, Tippecanoe County Drainage Board Attorney Cy Gerde, Engineering Consultant David Eichelberger, and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

Commissioner Hudson stated Commissioner Chase resigned Monday February 3, 1997 which created a vacancy in the position of Vice President to the Drainage Board. She nominated Commissioner Jones to fill the vacancy, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried to elect Commissioner Jones as Drainage Board Vice President.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the meeting held December 11, 1996. Commissioner Jones moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the minutes of the last meeting held January 8, 1997, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

Mr. Gerde asked for the active and inactive ditch list to be placed in the minutes and a motion be made to approve the list.

ACTIVE DITCH LIST 1997

DITCH NO	DITCH	PRICE PER ACRE	TOTAL 4 YEAR ASSESSMENT	1996 YEAR END BALANCE
4	Anson, Delphine	\$1.00	\$5,122.56	\$2,677.72
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	\$1.25	\$8,537.44	(\$2,933.43)
13	Brown, A P	\$1.00	\$8,094.24	\$7,921.94
14	Buck Creek	\$0.00		\$1,385.55
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	\$1.50	\$5,482.96	\$4,129.61
18	Coe, Train	\$0.50	\$3,338.56	\$1,306.84
20	County Farm	\$1.00	\$1,012.00	(\$381.25)
25	Dunkin, Marion	\$1.50	\$9,536.08	\$9,285.65
26	Darby, Wetherill	\$1.50		\$1,106.43
27	Ellis, Thomas	\$1.00	\$1,642.40	\$1,483.50
29	Fassnacht, Christ	\$0.75	\$2,350.56	\$2,124.49
31	Gowen, Issac	\$0.00		\$101.76
33	Grimes, Rebecca	\$3.00	\$3,363.52	(\$10,770.77)
35	Haywood, E.F.	\$0.50	\$7,348.96	\$1,283.61
37	Harrison, Meadows	\$1.00	\$1,532.56	\$463.71
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	\$3.00	\$10,745.28	\$8,137.10
42	Kellerman, James	\$0.50	\$1,043.52	\$693.98
43	Kerschner, Floyd	\$1.00	\$1,844.20	(\$2,254.41)
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	\$1.00	\$2,677.36	\$781.97
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	\$1.00	\$4,226.80	(\$7,821.61)
48	Lesley, Calvin	\$1.00	\$3,787.76	\$2,440.88
51	McFarland, John	\$0.50	\$7,649.12	\$7,160.70

54	Marsh, Samuel		\$0.00		\$0.00
55	Miller, Absalm		\$0.75	\$3,236.00	\$2,221.92
57	Morin, F.E.	\$1.00	\$1,434.72		(\$1,130.43)
58	Motsinger, Hester	\$0.75	\$2,000.00		(\$348.42)
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	\$1.50	\$13,848.00		(\$1,975.03)
60	Oshier, Aduley		\$0.50	\$1,624.88	\$1,048.80
64	Rayman, Emmett	\$0.00			\$326.57
65	Resor, Franklin	\$1.00	\$3,407.60		(\$2,025.96)
74	Sterrett, Joseph	\$0.35	\$478.32		\$276.65
76	Swanson, Gustav	\$1.00	\$4,965.28		\$1,351.62
82	Wallace, Harrison		\$0.75	\$5,501.76	\$5,408.79
84	Walters, William	\$0.00	\$8,361.52		\$7,999.20
87	Wilson, Nixon		\$1.00		\$158.62
89	Yeager, Simeon		\$1.00	\$615.36	(\$523.86)
91	Dickens, Jesse		\$0.30	\$288.00	\$206.26
93	Dismal Creek		\$1.00	\$25,420.16	\$8,652.86
94	Shawnee Creek		\$1.00	\$6,639.28	\$3,411.51
95	Buetler/Gosma		\$1.10	\$19,002.24	\$9,981.77
100	S.W.Elliott	\$0.75	\$227,772.24	\$174,474.74	
102	Brum, Sarah		\$1.00		
103	H W Moore Lateral				
104	Hadley Lake Drain	\$0.00			\$38,550.17
105	Thomas, Mary		\$0.00		
106	Arbegust-Young	\$0.00			
108	High Gap Road	\$13.72			0.00
109	Romney Stock Farm	\$12.13			0.00

INACTIVE DITCH LIST 1997

	DITCH	PRICE	TOTAL	1996
	PER ACRE	ASSESSMENT	4 YEAR	YEAR END
			BALANCE	
AA				
1	Amstutz, John	\$3.00	\$5,008.00	\$5,709.97
2	Anderson, Jesse	\$1.00	\$15,793.76	\$21,291.57
3	Andrews, E.W.	\$2.50	\$2,566.80	\$2,847.14
5	Baker, Dempsey	\$1.00	\$2,374.24	\$3,270.71
6	Baker, Newell	\$1.00	\$717.52	\$2,343.45
7	Ball, Nellie	\$1.00	\$1,329.12	\$2,414.08
10	Binder, Michael	\$1.00	\$4,388.96	\$5,244.63
11	Blickenstaff, John	\$1.00	\$7,092.80	\$8,094.49
12	Box, NW	\$0.75	\$11,650.24	\$15,935.84
16	Byers, Orrin	\$0.75	\$5,258.88	\$5,266.89
17	Coe, Floyd	\$1.75	\$13,617.84	\$19,495.56
19	Cole, Grant	\$1.00	\$4,113.92	\$9,688.52
21	Cripe, Jesse	\$0.50	\$911.28	\$1,810.25
22	Daughtery, Charles	\$1.00	\$1,883.12	\$2,662.08

23	Devault, Fannie	\$1.00	\$3,766.80	\$8,650.12
28	Erwin, Martin V	\$1.00	\$656.72	\$1,273.19
30	Fugate, Elijah		\$1.00 \$3,543.52	\$6,272.90
32	Gray, Martin		\$1.00 \$6,015.52	\$7,478.52
34	Hafner, Fred		\$1.00 \$1,263.44	\$1,336.75
36	Haywood, Thomas	\$1.00	\$2,133.12	\$3,253.45
39	Inskeep, George	\$1.00	\$3,123.84	\$8,267.68
40	Jakes, Lewis		\$1.00 \$5,164.24	\$6,039.76
46	Kirkpatrick, James		\$1.00 \$16,637.76	\$21,244.63
47	Kuhns, John A		\$0.75 \$1,226.96	\$1,467.00
50	McCoy, John	\$1.00	\$2,194.72	\$3,009.24
52	McKinny, Mary		\$1.00 \$4,287.52	\$4,326.98
53	Mahin, Wesley		\$3.00 \$3,467.68	\$4,346.05
56	Montgomery, Ann	\$1.00	\$4,614.56	\$4,717.40
61	Parker, Lane		\$1.00 \$2,141.44	\$3,658.56
63	Peters, Calvin		\$1.00 \$828.00	\$2,704.13
66	Rettereth, Peter	\$0.75	\$1,120.32	\$1,511.11
67	Rickerd, Aurthur	\$3.00	\$1,064.80	\$1,281.00
68	Ross, Alexander	\$0.75	\$1,791.68	\$4,348.39
69	Sheperdson, James	\$0.75	\$1,536.72	\$4,194.37
70	Saltzman, John		\$2.00 \$5,740.96	\$6,867.50
71	Skinner, Ray		\$1.00 \$2,713.60	\$2,961.68
72	Smith, Abe	\$1.00	\$1,277.52	\$1,595.63
73	Southworth, Mary	\$0.30	\$558.08	\$677.23
75	Stewart, William	\$1.00	\$765.76	\$1,046.47
77	Taylor, Alonzo		\$1.00 \$1,466.96	\$4,006.46
78	Taylor, Jacob		\$0.75 \$4,616.08	\$5,066.61
79	Toohy, John		\$1.00 \$542.40	\$1,207.75
81	VanNatta, John		\$0.35 \$1,338.16	\$3,089.01
83	Walters, Sussana	\$0.75	\$972.24	\$2,395.01
85	Waples, McDill		\$1.00 \$5,478.08	\$9,781.97
86	Wilder, Lena		\$1.00 \$3,365.60	\$5,718.48
88	Wilson, J & J		\$0.50 \$736.96	\$6,552.77
90	Yoe, Franklin		\$1.00 \$1,605.44	\$2,916.35
92	Jenkins		\$1.00 \$1,689.24	\$3,014.50
96	Kirkpatrick One	\$0.00	\$6,832.16	\$13,956.64
97	McLaughlin, John	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
101	Hoffman, John		\$1.00 \$72,105.03	\$3,502.62

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the active and inactive ditches for 1997, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

1997 CONTRACTS

ENGINEERING CONTRACT

Mr. Gerde stated he commends the contract written for Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Limited, but some verbiage was changed to better protect the County's interest.

Mr. Eichelberger stated the changes will be made and the contract ready for signature at the March meeting.

ATTORNEY CONTRACT

Mr. Gerde stated the contract for Drainage Board Attorney is ready for approval and the signature of the Drainage Board. The contract is the same format as Mr. Hoffman's contract with a few changes; date, name and hourly rate changed to \$140.00 per hour also, the last paragraph was added to the contract.

Commissioner Hudson read the paragraph that was added:

"All parties hereto agree not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment with respect to his hire tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment, because of his race, religion, color, sex, disability, handicap, national origin or ancestry. Breach of this convenient may be regarded as a material breach of the contract."

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the contract for Drainage Board Attorney, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried. The entire contract is on file in the County Surveyor's Office.

JAMES N. KIRKPATRICK DITCH

Mr. Spencer asked that the **James N. Kirkpatrick Ditch** proposal discussion be continued until the March meeting allowing time to fill the vacancy of the third Drainage Board member.

Commissioner Hudson moved to continue the discussion of the James N. Kirkpatrick Ditch proposals until the March Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried

OBSTRUCTION OF DRAINS

Mr. Spencer referred to the following "PETITION TO TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD TO REMOVE OBSTRUCTION IN MUTUAL DRAIN OF MUTUAL SURFACE WATERCOURSE" the "DRAINAGE BOARDS POWER EXTENDED TO PRIVATE DRAINS" article in "Indiana Prairie Farmer" and Indiana Code amendment act No. 1277. All of these documents are on file in the County Surveyor's Office. Mr. Spencer wanted the Commissioners to be aware of and have a discussion on this issue. Mr. Spencer felt this law was to protect against man-made obstructions and asked Mr. Gerde to examine the possibility of the law including natural obstructions.

Mr. Gerde gave an example of where this law could be taken into effect. The first being on North 9th Street Road, north of Burnetts Road, the current condition causes water to travel across the road producing a hazardous condition. The reason for the water across the road is due to drainage problems outside the County Road Right-of-Way.

Mr. Steve Murray, Executive Director, Tippecanoe County Highway Department, stated another persistent problem is 200 South, east of the South fork of the Wildcat Creek. Mr. Murray explained no actual source of funding is available to work on obstruction of drains which do not have a maintenance fund. Mr. Murray asked the Drainage Board to consider creating a fund which would help the Surveyor's Office and the Highway Department to determine what action could be taken. Mr. Murray stated when a problem becomes severe enough the County Highway Department will clean out an obstruction that is off county road right-of-way to protect the road way, but the funds used for the clean-up are funds that could be used elsewhere.

Commissioner Jones stated Steve Wettschurack told him that FEMA was going to help out with the situation on North 9th Street.

Mr. Murray pointed out with the older residential subdivision the storm water system were allowed to outlet into privately owned ravines, there is no funding available to help with maintenance on these situations. If the storm water system becomes plugged or breaks down causing the streets to flood the County Highway Department has repaired the problem, using funds that were not intended for that type of repair.

Mr. Gerde's understanding is that in the majority of those situation the County does not have an easement, which cause a legal problem for the County.

Mr. Spencer stated in all cases where the County has worked out side the easement a complaint was filed therefore the landowners are willing to grant entry onto their land.

MARCH DRAINAGE BOARD MEETING DATE

Mr. Spencer explained the March 1997 Drainage Board meeting date needs to be changed, if possible. Mr. Gerde is going to be out of town on the scheduled meeting date of March 5, 1997.

Discussion of the next Drainage Board Meeting, after an agreed date and time, Commissioner Hudson stated the next Drainage Board meeting will be Tuesday, March 11, 1997 at 9:00 a.m.

Being no further business Commissioner Hudson moved to adjourn until Tuesday, March 11, 1997 at 9:00 a.m., seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

February 4, 1998

regular meeting

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Commissioners Ruth Shedd, and John Knochel, County Surveyor Mike Spencer, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 4, 1998, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Shedd calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the October 15, 1997 and December 19, 1997 regular Drainage Board meetings. Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Minutes Approved.

MIKE MADRID COMPANY

Bob Gross, and Craig Rodarmel of R.W. Gross and Associates, presented the Board with final drainage plans of Mike Madrid Company, located west of I-65, in the northeast portion of the intersection of Swisher Road and the Rail Road. Mr. Gross explained at the south end of the site an existing 15 inch culvert under Swisher Road is the outlet. In the post-developed condition the same 15 inch pipe will be used for the outlet of the site with two sub basin. The sub basin at the north and east sides of the site will outlet into a 12 inch pipe under the driveway and then flow into the 15 inch outlet pipe under Swisher Road. The second sub basin will be at the south end of the site and outlet through a 12 inch pipe with a 4.25 inch diameter orifice on the end to restrict the flow before outletting into the 15 inch pipe under Swisher Road. Mr. Gross explained neither of the two basins will be very deep, but they will be spread over a large area.

Mr. Spencer stated he recommends final approval with the condition the applicant receives approval from the County Highway Department for use of the road right-of-way as site detention.

Commissioner Shedd asked where the emergency overflow will go and who owns the property the overflow will go on?

Mr. Gross stated Mike Madrid Company owns the property for the proposed emergency overflow.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant final approval of the Mike Madrid Company drainage plan with the condition the applicant receives approval from the County Highway Department, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

DRAINAGE BOARD 1998 CONTRACTS

Attorney

Mr. Spencer presented the Board with a 1998 contract from Hoffman, Luhman and Busch Law Firm for their services to the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board.

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the 1998 contract with Hoffman, Luhman and Busch Law Firm, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Engineering Consultant

Mr. Luhman presented the Board with a 1998 contract from Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LTD. for engineering consultant services for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board.

Mr. Luhman suggested continuing the 1998 contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. until some language is included, which is in the agreement from January 3, 1995 contract. Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. could copy the 1995 contract and update it to include the current rates.

Commissioner Knochel moved to continue the 1998 engineering consultant contract with Christopher B. Burke until the March 4, 1998 Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

1998 ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH LIST

Mr. Luhman read the 1998 active and inactive ditch list.

ACTIVE DITCH LIST

- 4. Delphine Anson 8. Julius Berlovitz 10. Michael Binder 14. Buck Creek
- 16. Orrin Byers 18. Train Coe 20. County Farm 26. Darby Wetherill
- 31. Issac Gowen 33. Rebecca Grimes 34. Fred Hafner 35. E.F. Haywood
- 37. Harrison Meadows 41. Eugene Johnson 42. James Kellerman 43. Floyd Kerschner
- 44. Amanda Kirkpatrick 45. Frank Kirkpatrick 47. John Kuhns 48. Calvin Lesley
- 52. Mary Mckinney 54. Samuel Marsh 55. Absalm Miller 57. F.E. Morin
- 58. Hester Motsinger 59. J. Kelly O’Neal 60. Audley Oshier 64. Rayman Emmett
- 65. Franklin Reser 67. Aurthur Rickerd 71. Skinner Ray 74. Joseph Sterrett
- 76. Gustav Swanson 78. Jacob Taylor 87. Wilson Nixon 89. Simeon Yeager
- 91. Jesse Dickens 93. Dismal Creek **94. Shawnee Creek** 101. John Hoffman
- 102. Sophia Brumm 103. H.W. Moore 105. Mary Thomas 106. Arbegust Young
- 108. High Gap Road 109. Romney Stock Farm

INACTIVE DITCH LIST

- 1. John Amstutz 2. Jesse Anderson 3. E.W. Andrew 5. Dempsey Baker
- 6. Newell Baker 7. Nellie Ball 11. John Blickenstaff 12. N.W. Box
- 13. A.P. Brown 15. Alfred Burkhalter 17. Floyd Coe 19. Grant Cole
- 21. Jesse Cripe 22. Charles Daughtery 23. Fannie Devault 25. Marion Dunkin
- 27. Thomas Ellis 28. Martin Erwin 29. Crist-Fassnacht 30. Elijah Fugate
- 32. Martin Gray 36. Thomas Haywood 39. George Inskeep 40. Lewis Jakes
- 46. J.N. Kirkpatrick 50. John McCoy 51. John McFarland 53. Wesley Mahin
- 56. Ann Montgomery 61. Parker Lane 63. Calvin Peters 66. Peter Rettereth
- 68. Alexander Ross 69. James Sheperdson 70. John Saltzman 72. Abe Smith
- 73. Mary Southworth 75. William Stewart 77. Alonzo Taylor 79. John Toohey
- 81. John VanNatta 82. Harrison Wallace 83. Sussana Walters 84. William Walters
- 85. Waples McDill 86. Lena Wilder 88. J & J Wilson 90. Franklin Yoe
- 92. Jenkins 95. Beutler-Gosma 96. Kirkpatrick One 100. S.W. Elliott

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the 1998 ditch assessment list, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Spencer brought to the Board's attention a public notice from the Corp. of Engineers regarding the proposed wetland constructed above a county regulated tile drainage system the **John McCoy Ditch** located south of Wea School along County Road 200 East. Mr. Spencer explained there have been some concern from the property owners in the watershed area with what the Corp. has proposed. Mr. Spencer asked the Board if the County should have an informational meeting regarding the wetland?

Commissioner Knochel moved to have an information meeting with all the effected landowner in the area of the proposed wetland, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Mr. Spencer asked if the 30 day requirement for a public notice would be in affect with this meeting only being an informational meeting?

Mr. Luhman stated no, not for an informational meeting because it is not being reconstruted, the assessment is not going to change and there is not going to be any legal affect on the landowners.

MINUTE BOOK

Mr. Luhman explained that there was a question as to whether or not a ledger size minute book was required to be used, if not, than could the minute book be changed to a letter or legal size. Mr. Luhman stated he could not find any statue where a ledger size book had to be used.

Commissioner Shedd granted approval to change the size of the minute book from ledger to letter, beginning with the 1998 Drainage Board minutes.

Being no further business, Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn until March 4, 1998, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Meeting adjourned.

Ruth Shedd, President

Shelli Muller, Secretary

Kathleen Hudson, Vice President

John Knochel, Member

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

February 3, 1999

Regular Meeting

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Commissioners Ruth Shedd and John Knochel, County Surveyor Mike Spencer, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 3, 1999, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Shedd calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda is to approve the 1999 Active and Inactive Ditch Assessment List. Mr. Luhman read the list.

ACTIVE

Delphine Anson Brown	Julius Berlowitz	Michael Binder	A.P.
Buck Creek Wetherhill	Train Coe	County Farm	Darby
Christ Fassnacht Hafner	Issac Gowen	Rebecca Grimes	Fred
E.F. Haywood Kirkpatrick	Harrison Meadows	Floyd Kerschner	Amanda
Frank Kirkpatrick McKinny	Calvin Lesley	John McFarland	Mary
Samuel Marsh Aduley Oshier Rickerd	F.E. Morin Emmett Rayman	Hester Motsinger Franklin Reser	J.Kelly O'Neal Aurthur
Joseph Sterrett Walters	Gustav Swanson	Jacob Taylor	William
Wilson Nixon Creek	Simeon Yeager	Jesse Dickens	Dismal
Kirkpatrick One Lateral	John Hoffman	Sophia Brum	HW Moore
Mary Thomas	Arbegust-Young	Jesse Anderson	

INACTIVE

John Amstutz	James Shepardson	E.W. Andrew	
Dempsey Baker			
Newell Baker	Nellie Ball	John Blickenstaff	NW Box
Alfred Burkhalter	Orrin Byers	Floyd Coe	Grant
Cole			
Jesse Cripe	Charles Daughtery	Frannie Devault	Marion
Dunkin			
Thomas Ellis	Martin Erwin	Elijah Fugate	Martin
Gray			
Thomas Haywood Johnson	George Inskeep	Lewis Jakes	Eugene
James Kellerman	James Kirkpatrick	John Kuhns	John
McCoy			
Wesley Mahin Lane	Absalm Miller	Ann Montgomery	Parker

Calvin Peters Saltzman Skinner Ray William Stewart	Peter Rettereth Abe Smith John Toohey Sussane Walters Franklin Yoe	Alexander Ross Mary Southworth John VanNatta McDill Waples Jenkins S.W. Elliott	John Lena Hadley
Shawnee Creek			
Buetler/Gosma Lake High Gap Rd	John McLaughlin Romney Stock Farm		

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the list of Active and Inactive Ditch Assessment for the year 1999, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WATKINS GLEN SUBDIVISION, PHASE 4, PART 3

Tim Beyer of Vester and Associates, asked the Board for preliminary approval of Watkins Glen Subdivision, Phase 4, Part 3 located off County Road 400 East. The proposed subdivision consists of 9 lot on a 5 acre site. Mr. Beyer asked for a variance from the Drainage Ordinance that requires on-site detention. The majority of the proposed plan drains to an existing pipe and then to an existing detention facility for Watkins Glen South, Part V. The facility has the capacity to handle the additional runoff of Phase 4, Part 2.

Mr. Spencer recommended granting the variance for no on-site detention and preliminary approval of the drainage plan for Watkins Glen, Phase 4, Part 3.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant preliminary approval of Watkins Glen, Phase 4, Part 3 and to grant the variance allowing no on-site detention, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

SEASONS FOUR SUBDIVISION, PHASE III

Roger Fine, of John E. Fisher and Associates, asked the Board for approval of the outlet pipe for Seasons Four Subdivision, Phase III. The City of Lafayette requires the project to receive approval from the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board because of the outlet pipe into the **Elliott Ditch**. Mr. Fine informed the Board a DNR permit is pending for work in the floodway.

Mr. Spencer recommended approval of the outlet pipe, subject to the project receiving the DNR permit.

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the outlet pipe into **the Elliott Ditch** for Seasons Four Subdivision, Phase III, subject to the approval of the DNR permit, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Being no further business, Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn until March 3, 1999 at 10:00 a.m., seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Ruth Shedd, President

Shelli Muller, Secretary

Kathleen Hudson, Vice President

John Knochel, Member

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

February 9, 2000

Regular Meeting

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Commissioners Kathleen Hudson, John Knochel and Ruth Shedd, County Surveyor Stephen Murray, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Doris Myers.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 9, 2000, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Kathleen Hudson calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda is to approve the minutes from the January 12, 2000, Regular Drainage Board Meeting and minutes from the January 21, 2000, Special Drainage Board Meeting. Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the minutes of January 12, 2000, Regular Drainage Board Meeting and January 21, 2000, Special Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Commissioner Hudson welcomed Stephen Murray, as new County Surveyor, to his first meeting with the Drainage Board.

CROSSPOINTE APARTMENTS SUBDIVISION

Wm. R. Davis with Hawkins Environmental gave presentation for Crosspointe Apartments Subdivision. This site is located east of Creasy Lane, south of Weston Woods Subdivision and east of the **Treعه Meadows Relief Drain**. The applicant proposes to construct apartments and associated parking. The stormwater management plan for this area was the subject of previous studies conducted as part of the Amelia Avenue extension over the **Treعه Meadows Relief Drain**. Two issues from C.B. Burke Engineering report to be discussed. First issue is ponding of waters on project. The parking lot plans were intended to pond 7" of water. Second issue concerning previously discharge channel that has been schematic approved for the drainage of this site. Their intention is to use this channel for draining this site. If not approved as is a modification can be brought before the board.

Commissioner Hudson asked Dave Eichelberger to explain about the wet bottom ponds.

Dave Eichelberger, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant, stated the previous stormwater management plan indicated that portions of this development would drain to proposed wet-bottom ponds prior to discharging to the **Treعه Meadows Relief Drain**. However, it does not appear these ponds are proposed as part of this subject development on their plans. Are these ponds already in place, are they going to be constructed as part of this project or are they going to have some interim outlet to the **Treعه Meadow Relief Drain** between now and then? If are wanting final approval may need to have condition that proposed ponds are constructed or proposed outlet is approved.

Steve Murray asked Wm. R. Davis what was their intent.

Wm R. Davis commented there is another project that has risen to this area. The project is not moving very rapidly. They want to get these projects temporarily constructed as did in schematic approval of wet-bottom channel as part of this project.

Commissioner Hudson asked if these outlets would be the ones carrying water over parking lot. Answer was no.

Commissioner Hudson asked what was going to be done about the water ponding over the parking lot area.

Steve Murray stated 7" water ponding over parking lot is allowable by ordinance. This is backwater from 100-year flood as composed to conventional ponding for storage in the lot.

Steve Murray asked if there was a duration limit.

Dave Eichelberger stated none that he is aware of.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant final approval to Crossepoint Apartments Subdivision subject to the outlets being constructed as part of this project, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WABASH NATIONAL SITE DETENTION

Wm. R. Davis with Hawkins Environmental gave presentation for Wabash National Site Detention. This is a 340-acre site located north of C.R. 350 South, between Concord Road and U.S. 52. This is a schematic design for Wabash National and is the second time for reviewing this site. We are trying to come up with an overall plan for final development of Wabash National property. They are not placing structures, etc, but are determining the amount of improved surface they can have, what areas need to be stoned, types of drainage, etc. Currently there is a tile branch of **Elliott Ditch** traversing this property. At present a lot of water stands on this property. We are proposing how to move this water in a developed condition. Will be stoning parts of the property after constructing diversion ditches. Will be removing tile in the **Elliott Ditch** Branch and make open drain. The present detention pond is adequate for future use. Wm. R. Davis is asking for approval of schematic design for Wabash National Site Detention.

Dave Eichelberger suggests preliminary approval of the ditch network and final approval of the continued use of the existing detention pond.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant preliminary approval of the ditch design for the Wabash National Site Detention and final approval for the drainage pond, seconded Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WILLIAMS COMMUNICATIONS – FIBER OPTIC CABLE

Harold Elliott with Williams Communications gave presentation to install fiber optic cable communication system. This cable will stretch from Atlanta, Cincinnati, Indianapolis and through Chicago. Part of this system will go through a portion of Tippecanoe County. Have received permits for the road crossings. Had been working with Mike Spencer for permits on drainage ditches. They had sent a letter earlier, recommended by Mike Spencer, explaining what they were going to do. Mr. Elliott stated he thinks they should have a permit due to all the bonding, etc. Mr. Elliott's purpose for being here today is to go over project, find out for sure what they do want, and get bond, etc. ready for the next meeting.

Commissioner Hudson asked Mr. Elliott if he received Dave Luhman's letter.

Mr. Elliott's comment was yes. Mr. Elliott stated they have included what Mr. Luhman asked for. Mr. Elliott had a question on drawing for each ditch. Can they use what we use as a typical ditch crossing with it put to the ditch we are crossing? Instead of a complete profile of each ditch.

Dave Luhman asked if it would be similar to what is used on highways. If so, that would be adequate. Mr. Elliott commented yes. Williams Communications will furnish drainage board with a complete list of where line is as built.

Steve Murray stated he would like Mr. Elliott to give as much information possible to the contractor, so they can narrow down their area to start being aware that there may be a legal drain there.

Mr. Elliott commented there would be a crew out to survey each of the legal drains so contractor knows exactly where they start and will be. They are running a minimum of 42" below ground. Some of the survey work is being done now.

Steve Murray asked if they would trench or plow the lines.

Mr. Elliott stated the plan was to plow. When you go across ditches we know you can't plow. So we will be trenching these lines.

Steve Murray stated they would want the cable trenched not plowed. When you trench you can see turned up broken tiles. When you plow there is no visible evidence of broken tiles. May be 3 to 5 years before drain collapses and backs up. A lot of counties have gone too only allowing trenching now days as opposed to plowing.

Commissioner Knochel stated his concern was when turning up some private tiles who will repair. They want someone who is knowledgeable to do the field tile repair.

Mr. Elliott commented he had talked with Mike and would like for the drainage board to hire someone in our county to act as an inspector to find the legal drains and bill Williams Communications for that service.

Steve Murray commented his concern is finding an inspector. It doesn't matter if the drainage board hires or if Williams Communications hires. Stephen thinks it would be better if drainage board hired the inspector.

Mr. Elliott asked about a pay scale agreement. This can all be worked out when I come back for the next meeting.

Steve Murray asked what is your construction schedule.

Mr. Elliott stated this year, this spring. It depends on all the permits coming in and all the easements that are being required one way or the other.

Steve Murray felt comfortable with this if they are willing to work under the drainage board conditions.

Mr. Elliott suggested the \$5,000 bond might not be large enough. There is more potential damage than \$5,000.

Dave Luhman recommends \$25,000.00 bond. Wait on final draft at the March 1, 2000 meeting for details.

Mr. Elliott will return for the March 1, 2000, meeting with final draft and details.

2000 ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH ASSESSMENTS

Mr. Luhman read the 2000 active and inactive ditch list

ACTIVE

Jesse Anderson	Delphine Anson	Julius Berlovitz	Michael Binder
A.P. Brown	Buck Creek	Orrin Byers	Train Coe
County Farm	Thomas Ellis	Christ Fassnacht	Issac Gowen
Rebecca Grimes	Fred Hafner	E.F. Haywood	Harrison Meadows
James Kellerman	Floyd Kerschner	Amanda Kirkpatrick	Frank Kirkpatrick
Calvin Lesley	John McFarland	Mary McKinny	Samuel Marsh
Ann Montgomery	F.E. Morin	Hester Motsinger	J. Kelly O'Neal
Aduley Oshier	Emmett Rayman	Franklin Resor	Aurthur Rickerd
Joseph C. Sterrett	Gustav Swanson	Nixon Wilson	Simeon Yeager
Jesse Dickens	Dismal Creek	Shawnee Creek	Kirkpatrick One
John Hoffman	Sarah Brum	HW Moore Lateral	Mary Thomas
Arbegust-Young	High Gap Road	Romney Stock Farm	Darby Wetherill Ext 2
Darby Wetherill Reconstruction			

INACTIVE

John Amstutz	E.W. Andrews	Dempsey Baker	Newell Baker
Nellie Ball	John Blickenstaff	NW Box	Alfred Burkhalter
Floyd Coe	Grant Cole	Jesse Cripe	Charles E. Daughtery
Fannie Devault	Marion Dunkin	Darby Wetherill	Martin V. Erwin
Elijah Fugate	Martin Gray	Thomas Haywood	George Inskip
Lewis Jakes	E.Eugene Johnson	James Kirkpatrick	John A. Kuhns
John McCoy	Wesley Mahin	Absalm Miller	Lane Parker
Calvin Peters	Peter Rettereth	Alexander Ross	James Sheperdson
John Saltzman	Ray Skinner	Abe Smith	Mary Southworth
William Stewart	Alonzo Taylor	Jacob Taylor	John Toohey
John VanNatta	Harrison B. Wallace	Sussana Walters	William Walters
McDill Waples	Lena Wilder	J & J Wilson	Franklin Yoe
Jenkins	Buetler/Gosma	S.W. Elliott	Hadley Lake Drain

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the list of Active and Inactive Assessment for the year 2000, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

PETITION FOR ENCROACHMENT ON UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT LOT 63, RED OAKS SUBDIVISION

Steve Murray gave presentation of this petition for encroachment on utility & drainage easement Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision. The petition for encroachment reads as follows: The undersigned, John L. Maloney, who owns 609 Bur Oak Court, does hereby request permission of the Tippecanoe County Commissioners and the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board to encroach 25 feet into the utility and drainage easement at the rear side of their home on Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision, Wea Township, Tippecanoe County, Indiana, as shown on the diagram hereto attached and made a part of this petition. Diagram will be on file in surveyor's office. Stephen commented the real concern is the 25 feet encroachment will be too far down the bank and into the water level. This could be an obstruction if maintenance needs to be done to the bank for erosion purposes or pipe out fall. A 10-foot encroachment will bring to the top of bank. Stephen stated he would not recommend any more encroachment then to the top of the bank.

Commissioner Hudson asked if 10 foot would encroach into the utility and drainage easement.

Steve Murray commented without an actual survey tying the house to the lot lines we wouldn't know for sure. It would appear the 10-foot at the top of bank is roughly the easement line that they want to encroach into. If we do not grant requirement for encroachment they can not go any further than the top of bank.

Commissioner Hudson asked if Bill Augustin of Gunstra Builders was aware of this being on the agenda.

Steve Murray commented he had talked to Bill Augustin this week and thought he was aware of the agenda.

Commissioner Knochel asked if they wanted to build a deck and if it was already built.

Steve Murray answer was didn't believe so. Chris from surveyor's office had been out in the last month and took pictures. No deck was in the pictures.

Dave Luhman asked if they wanted to resubmit this petition for an amendment asking for a lower amount of encroachment. If the Drainage Board denies this petition they can resubmit another petition.

Commissioner Knochel moved to deny request for 25 foot encroachment on utility and drainage easement for Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision, Wea Township, Tippecanoe County, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

Dave Luhman gave presentation regarding request of letter from Drainage Board to Chicago Title Insurance Company. The property is located at 3815 SR 38 E known as the **Kyger Bakery**. There has already been a dry closing on the sale. There are 2 buildings that come within the 75-foot easement. The Chicago Title Insurance Company in order to issue their title insurance need letter from Drainage Board acknowledging that buildings on this property were constructed prior to the requirement of the 1965 Drainage Act and are thus legally located structures and do not constitute illegal encroachments. Have tax records from Fairfield Township Assessors Office that show these structures were built in 1948. Dave Luhman presented Commissioner Hudson with letter on Drainage Board stationery for signature stating these structures were built prior to the requirements of the 1965 Drainage Act and are thus legally located structures and do not constitute illegal encroachments. Dave Luhman has reviewed this with Mr. Bumbleburg, who represents Kyger, and has his approval.

Commissioner Knochel moved president of Drainage Board to sign this letter stating the building were built before 1965 and do not constitute illegal encroachments, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Being no further business Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Meeting adjourned.

Kathleen Hudson, President

Doris Myers, Secretary

John Knochel, Vice President

Ruth Shedd, Member

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD

MAY 3, 2000

Regular Meeting

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Commissioners Kathleen Hudson, John Knochel and Ruth Shedd, County Surveyor Stephen Murray, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Doris Myers.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, May 3, 2000, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Kathleen Hudson calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda is to approve the minutes from the April 5, 2000, Regular Drainage Board Meeting. Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the minutes of April 5, 2000, Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

52 SOUTH INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

Paul Coutts with C & S Engineering gave presentation for final approval with conditions for 52 South Industrial Subdivision. The site is located on an approximately 19.6 acre parcel bounded by U.S. Highway 52 on the southwest and Old County Road 350 South on the north. The proposed overall development consists of an industrial subdivision. There are 4 industrial lots.

Steve Murray recommended final approval with the condition applicant receive approval from the Indiana Department of Transportation for all work proposed within the U.S. 52 right-of-way.

Commissioner Knochel moved for final approval with conditions of 52 South Industrial Subdivision, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

AMELIA STATION PLANNED DEVELOPMENT

Tim Beyer with Vester & Associates gave presentation for final approval of Amelia Station Planned Development. This 21.3-acre site is generally located south of McCarty Lane and east of Creasy Lane. More specifically, the project site is south of the existing Weston Woods Subdivision and east of the proposed Cross Creek Lakes Planned Development. The site has approximately 61 duplex lots.

Steve Murray recommended final approval with conditions. One condition is resolution of Elliott Branch #13 ½ of the Elliott Ditch Regulated Drain relocation along with necessary easement, vacations and creations.

Commissioner Shedd asked why they were relocating the drain.

Steve Murray commented the developer was relocating. Primarily because where the drain is located doesn't fit the development well. We certainly don't want an under building site. The burden falls on the developer to provide a new location in lieu of the existing location. Through the construction plan process review we will make sure that it is engineered and still function as it does today.

Commissioner Knochel moved for final approval with conditions of the Amelia Station Plan Development, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Steve Murray wanted to make clear that the Elliott Branch #13 tile relocation was in the above final approval with conditions.

BREN BRELLA SUBDIVISION PHASE I

Tim Beyer with Vester & Associates gave presentation for final approval of Bren Brella Subdivision Phase I. This site is part of an overall 440-acre development along County Road 450 East between County Roads

600 and 700 South. The entire site will eventually be developed into a golf course community with approximately 450 to 550 single-family lots and a 160 to 200-acre golf course. Phase One of the development includes 71 lots and portions of the proposed golf course, in the east and southeast portions of the overall site. This project was previously addressed in the April 5, 2000, Drainage Board Meeting and was moved to continue until another drainage board meeting. They are requesting final approval of the 71 lots with detailed storm sewer calculations and then also the conceptional drainage plan for the total 184.5-acre water shed basin. Since they are providing ponds for an aesthetic purpose only, they are also asking for a detention storage variance.

The applicant has provided proof the adjacent landowner has been notified of this proposal and the time and place of this Drainage Board hearing.

Commissioner Knochel moves to grant final approval with conditions for Bren Brella Subdivision Phase I, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Commissioner Knochel move to grant approval of the detention storage variance for Bren Brella Subdivision Phase I, seconded by Commissioner Shed. Motion carried.

WALMART-SUPER CENTER/PHASE I POND FOR PARK EAST MARKET PLACE

Steve Murray, County Survey, gave presentation for the Walmart-Super Center/Phase I Pond for Park East Marketplace. This project involves the development of a 40-acre parcel into a Wal-Mart Super Center as part of the larger commercial subdivision, Park East Marketplace. The site is located just south of State Route 26 and just west of I-65. The area is located within the Alexander Ross Ditch watershed, which was the subject of a study completed by Christopher B. Burke Engineering in 1993. They are basically requesting as-built approval of the pond or detention facility. Christopher B. Burke Engineering has looked at these plans and is smaller than originally proposed. Materials excavated to create the detention pond were to be used as fill material for the Wal-Mart development. However, the amount of material needed for the Wal-Mart development was less than originally estimated. Therefore, the amount of material to be removed to create the detention pond was less than originally estimated, leading to a significantly smaller pond.

The water shed is another site issue. Received following letter from John P. Rutte, Project Manager, with JDN Development Company, Inc., dated April 25, 2000.

Mr. Steve Murray
Lafayette County Surveyor
20 N 3rd Street
Lafayette, IN 47901

Re: Request for Approval of As-built Phase I Pond
Park East Marketplace, Lafayette

Dear Steve:

I have received a copy of Dave Eichelberger's recommendation to approve the reconfigured Phase I pond (copy attached), which we greatly appreciate. The purpose of this letter is to request direction from your office as to how we should proceed in order to complete the work as soon as possible.

Also, while I was visiting the site earlier this week Milestone mad an observation and suggestion that I would like to pass along for you consideration.

Milestone noted that the regional detention pond will change shape one of more times in the future in response to the specific needs of future developments on both the north and south sides of the pond. In light of that, Milestone suggested that the concrete low flow itches be constructed in the drainage ways feeding into the pond, whose locations are not subject to change, but that they not be constructed through the pond itself (i.e. from the twin 60" RCP field crossing to the outfall structure). Milestone offered to

credit back the value of any ditch work deemed not necessary at this time. The value of the low flow ditch through the pond area is approximately \$30,000.

If your office agrees that this money might be better used for maintenance of the pond or to meet other county drainage needs, we could transfer this credit to the county through an amendment to the Utility service Agreement or similar means.

I would appreciate your timely consideration of this construction credit proposal, as well as formal approval of the Phase I pond configuration.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (404) 504-6765.

Sincerely,
John P. Rutte, P.E.
Project Manager

Cc: Dave Eichelberger, CBB
Bob McCann, HGA
Larry Brooks, Milestone

Steve commented in lieu of building gutters they will give the county \$30,000.00 to use on maintenance or whatever we see fit. After talking with the City, Dave, and the Contractor this is a reasonable request per Steve Murray. Steve recommended approval of the construction of pond subject to giving the county \$30,000.00 to apply on this particular drain.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant final approval of the Wal-Mart Super Center project and also approval for deletion of low flow ditch work in exchange for \$30,000.00., seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

Gordon Hinkley, 2909 N 600 W, West Lafayette, IN, presented the drainage board with a drainage complaint. He had discussed this issue with former county surveyor, Mike Spencer, approximately 2 or 3 years ago and has been on going for a long time. Something needs to be done. The concern is the Erwin Ditch. Is concerned why he is now being told the Erwin Ditch stops at Jackson Highway. He thinks the tile continuing under Jackson Highway is also part of the Erwin Ditch owned by the county. Fifteen or Twenty years ago the 80 acres north of his property was sold and property owners bull dozed out trees and replanted trees under a government plan of some sort. He believes when they bull dozed out the trees they changed the lay of the land causing a ditch to wash through his land, which makes it impossible for him to farm a portion of his land, without going through his neighbors property. There was never an entrance to that 80 acres until they bull dozed the trees. They but in a sewer and tile that dumps water to the north. Over another 100 yards there is another ditch that has washed through neighbors field and now through his. He has talked to owners and got no satisfaction.

Commissioner Hudson asked who are the owners.

Gordon Hinkley stated Rutherford Farms owns the property.

Commissioner Shedd asked what is the name of ditch.

Gordon Hinkley commented the Erwin ditch.

Steve Murray stated based on his conversations with Mike, it appears the current regulated drain stops at Jackson Highway. The drain south of Jackson Highway could have been a legal drain at one time, but cannot find records per Gordon Hinkley's conversations with Mike Spencer. Will need to do more research on this project. Wanted Mr. Hinkley to get his drainage concern on the records.

Commissioner Knochel suggested taking a field trip to the site to visualize this problem. Steve Murray agreed with Commissioner Knochel suggestion.

Commissioner Shed asked if it is common for legal drains to stop at a State Road or intersection.

Steve Murray stated it is not uncommon for a tile to stop and dump out into a natural ditch or natural waterway. Usually when they stop they dump into a more defined stream.

Commissioner Hudson stated they need to take a field trip to site and do more research and get back with Mr. Hinkley.

Mr. Hinkley had another problem with Canadian Thistles, which are against the law to let go to seed. Canadian thistles are not being mowed along the roadside. The county highway mowed the Canadian Thistles along his road on December 6, 1998, after all the thistles had gone to seed. Last year the county highway mowed after October 1st. This is a highway department concern.

Commissioner Hudson asked for any comments.

Steve Murray stated generally as highway is notified they go out and mow these thistles. The question is do we always know where every Canadian thistle is in county road right-of-ways.

Commissioner Hudson asked if they were sprayed.

Steve Murray commented in that area he would have to talk to Joe Buntin.

Gordon Hinkley stated the Canadian Thistles being seeded into fields are a big concern of the farmers.

Commissioner Knochel also commented he has had problems and concerns with these Canadian Thistles in his own fields. Commissioner Knochel suggested Mr. Hinkley contact the Commissioners when he notices these Canadian Thistles and they would get someone out there to spray.

Gordon Hinkley commented the neighbor would take care of his Canadian Thistles when the state or county took care of theirs.

RETENTION FACILITIES

Steve Murray had a quick question or concern over the retention facilities being constructed. Over the years as these retention facility have been constructed he is not sure if they are requiring any kind of a maintenance bond. About 6 or 8 years ago when a new subdivision was done they started including, as part of the maintenance bond posted with the highway department, the storm sewer system. Because obviously one of the main functions of the storm sewer system is to drain the county roads that we accept. I think we should be requiring some sort of maintenance bond on the actual pond facilities, if we are not already. The easiest way to maybe do that would be to have that amount added to the bond that is posted with the highway, making clear on the face of bond that it includes street improvements and drainage facilities. In the meantime he will investigate and discuss this with Dave Luhman, County Attorney, if there would be any advantage to have them posted separately.

Commissioner Hudson asked Dave Luhman if they needed a motion for this.

Dave Luhman, County Attorney, stated could do on a case to case basis as they come up for their highway approval and approval of the bond.

Steve Murray commented a good example of this is this Wal-Mart pond. We won't be accepting any streets there, because is in the city. But as he understands it Wal-Mart is under the impression that drainage board will maintain that pond. Steve thinks pond construction and street construction are similar in that there can be defects in the design and construction of it and the drainage board shouldn't have to go back in a year or two and fix erosion or structural problems. The drainage board is not overly blessed with money

in that department. Any burden we can put back on the developer for proper construction and design through a year or three-year period would be in the public's best interest.

Commissioner Shedd asked if they could set up a policy like they have with the roads.

Steve Murray stated they can, but this is something he wanted to discuss with Dave Luhman.

Dave Luhman said he would look into this concern.

Steve Murray also wanted to check with other counties.

Being no further business Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Meeting adjourned.

Kathleen Hudson, President

Doris Myers, Secretary

John Knochel, Vice President

Ruth Shedd, Member

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board
Minutes
February 2, 2005
Regular Meeting

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board President Ruth Shedd, Vice President John Knochel, member KD Benson, County Surveyor Steve Murray, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger from Christopher B. Burke Engineering Limited, County Highway Engineer Tim Wells, and Drainage Board Secretary Brenda Garrison. GIS Technician Shelli Muller was absent.

Approval of January 5, 2005 Minutes

John Knochel stated the January 5, 2005 minutes reflected his attendance. As he was absent for that meeting, he made a motion to approve the minutes with a correction indicating his absence. KD seconded the motion and the January 5, 2005 Drainage Board Regular minutes were approved with the correction as stated.

Comprehensive Stormwater Management Ordinance

Steve Murray updated the Board regarding compliance with the Federal Clean Water Act through Rule 13 and Rule 5 in Indiana. Part C was to be filed November 4, 2004. However an extension was requested and IDEM (Indiana Department of Environmental Management) granted an additional ninety days. February 4, 2005 was the extended deadline. IDEM granted an additional thirty-day extension. The filing deadline of Part C was now March 4, 2005. The following entities were on track to adopt and pass the Comprehensive Stormwater Management Ordinance in accordance with the federal guidelines; Lafayette, West Lafayette, Dayton and Battleground, as well as Tippecanoe County. Cost sharing was utilized between the entities.

The ordinance was patterned off of the existing Stormwater Ordinance, which addressed stormwater quantity. Provisions were added to address stormwater quality, and the various control measures as required by the aforementioned rules. A steering committee, project team and subcommittee reviewed technical standards. The Surveyor stated a majority of the local engineering companies were included in this process. Implementation of the federal guidelines had been a two to three - year process. The Drainage Board Attorney and Surveyor reviewed the ordinance and made appropriate corrections. The Surveyor stated he felt the ordinance was a good product for the community.

Pat Jarboe approached the Board and asked when the ordinance would be implemented and what would be the length of the interim period. The Surveyor stated he was unable to answer, as it was a federal mandate and would depend on legal aspects of the federal guidelines. The Surveyor felt once the ordinance had passed both readings, it would take precedence over the existing ordinance at that time. Copies of the proposed ordinance were available for public review at this time. It was discussed whether it should be on the web page, however the Surveyor felt it should be available by CD at this time only.

At that time, the Surveyor presented Ordinance No. 2005-04-CM amending Tippecanoe County Code, repealing Section 155.01, and adding the new Section 155.01 Comprehensive Stormwater Management Ordinance. Exhibit A was the Stormwater Ordinance guidelines as well as the Technical Standards Manual. John Knochel made a motion to approve and pass Ordinance No. 2005-04-CM on first reading. KD Benson seconded the motion. The following voted as indicated: KD Benson- yes, John Knochel-yes, Ruth Shedd-yes. Ordinance No. 2005-04-CM regarding Comprehensive Stormwater Management was passed on first reading unanimously. It was agreed to place the ordinance on the next Drainage Board meeting agenda for the second reading, followed by a Special Commissioners' Meeting for a second reading also.

Water Safety Committee

Mike Wylie of Schneider Engineering approached the Board as a member of the previously established Water Safety Committee. He stated he was in attendance to today give an update to the Board on the Committee's progress. The committee was formed to look at public safety issues, both in design and education. A design subcommittee and an educational (outreach to schools etc.) subcommittee were formed out of the main committee members. Mike stated he would like to review the outcome of these committees at the next Drainage Board meeting in March. A Power point presentation would likely be made at that time. The Surveyor stated safety recommendations from the subcommittee were included in Ordinance No. 2005-04-CM. The Surveyor also stated Mike would be added to the March meeting Agenda of the Drainage Board.

Other Business

Classification of Drains

In accordance with I.C. 36-9-27-34, the Surveyor presented a Report of Drains to the Board. The report listed classification of drains, drains in need of reconstruction, urban drains, drains in need of periodic maintenance, and drains with insufficient maintenance funds. He then reviewed the report for the Board. (A copy of which would be included in the official minutes book.)

Drains in need of Reconstruction: He stated reconstruction for the Berlowitz Drain was in the initial process. He noted an informal meeting regarding the Jakes Ditch had been held this past year with the benefited landowners. The original tile had eroded out and an open ditch had been created at the lower end. The upper end of the tile was exposed. Elliott Ditch had been a part of an ongoing planning process, specifically Branch #11 and the F-Lake detention facility behind Ivy Tech. Branch #11 of S.W. Elliott Ditch had been designed and would go to construction in the near future. J.N. Kirkpatrick's lower end had been reconstructed. In anticipation of a large industrial park near the upper end, a preliminary plan was in place for reconstruction from Concord Road to 450 East for the J.N. Kirkpatrick. Investigation of the Anson drain had been done. It was anticipated the drain would be presented for reconstruction or an assessment rate increase sometime this year. The J.B. Anderson, which served Clarks Hill, had another round of flooding the past couple of weeks. The Frank Kirkpatrick drain was also in need of reconstruction.

Urban Drains: In accordance with Indiana Code, the Surveyor designated drains that are in need of reconstruction and served an urban or urbanized area as Urban Drains. The drains listed were: S.W. Elliott, Berlowitz, J.N. Kirkpatrick, and the Alexander Ross which ran roughly behind the Super Wal-Mart located on S.R. 26.

Drains in need of Periodic Maintenance: The D. Anson, J. Blickenstaff, A. Brown, Burkhalter, T.Coe, County Farm, C. Daugherty, M. Dunkin, T. Ellis, M. Erwin, R. Grimes, F. Haffner, E.F. Haywood, L. Jakes, F. Kerschner, A. Kirkpatrick, F. Kirkpatrick, C. Lesley, F.E. Morin, H. Mottsinger, F. Resor, M. Southworth, J. Vvannata, and the H.B. Wallace were all drains listed in need of periodic maintenance. The Surveyor stated for the most part, these drains had their assessment rates set in the late 1960's. The present and future costs of construction projects required an increase of assessment rates from roughly \$1.00 an acre closer to \$2.00 - \$3.00 an acre, for adequate maintenance. KD Benson requested a GIS presentation of the drains listed on the report in the near future as time permits. John Knochel made a motion to accept the 2005 Report of Drains submitted by the Surveyor. KD Benson seconded the motion and the Board accepted the 2005 Report of Drains as submitted by the Surveyor.

The Surveyor presented Tippecanoe County Drainage Board Resolution No. 2005 – 01-DB to the Board for their approval. In accordance with I.C. 36-9-27-42, the Resolution increased assessments by twenty-five percent (25%) for the following drains: J. Blickenstaff, A. Brown, T. Coe, C. Daugherty, M. Dunkin, T. Ellis, M. Erwin, F. Haffner, F. Kerschner, A. Kirkpatrick, C. Lesley, H. Wallace, and S. Yeager. The drain had an insufficient maintenance funds in place. The Surveyor stated either the tile was in need of a significant amount of maintenance, or cleanout of the open ditch was warranted. He stated every ten to twelve years an open ditch should be cleaned out. In response to K.D.'s inquiry, the Surveyor stated a letter would be sent to White County regarding their acceptance of the proposed assessment increase of the Andrew Brown Joint Drain. John Knochel made a motion to adopt Resolution No.2005-01-DB as presented. KD. Benson seconded the motion. The Board adopted Resolution No.2005-01-DB, a Resolution Increasing Assessments for the Periodic Maintenance of Regulated Drains.

Maintenance Bonds

Prophets Ridge Phase 1 / Prophets View Subdivision Phase 1 / Paramount Lakeshore Subdivision

The Surveyor presented the following three Maintenance Bonds for acceptance; Maintenance Bond No.4175907 in the amount of \$37,060.00 for Prophets RIDGE Subdivision Phase 1 from Fairfield Contractors, Maintenance Bond No. 69839855 in the amount of \$2000.00 for Prophets VIEW Subdivision Phase 1 (located on Pretty Prairie Road) from Norma G. & Rita A. Deboy, and Maintenance Bond No. 400TF4545 in the amount of \$23, 329.70 for Paramount Lakeshore Subdivision from Milestone Contractors. The Surveyor stated the subdivisions had been completed and approved. John Knochel made a motion to accept the three Maintenance Bonds as presented by the Surveyor. K.D. Benson seconded the motion. The Drainage Board accepted the aforementioned Maintenance Bonds.

Professional Engineering Services for Engineering Review Contract

The Surveyor presented the annual contract from Christopher B. Burke Engineering for professional engineering review service. The cost of their service was in turn billed to the developer of projects submitted for review. Dave Eichelberger from Christopher B. Burke Engineering stated the rate per hour was raised from \$70.00 per hour to \$75.00 per hour. John Knochel made a motion to approve the contract between the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board and Christopher B. Burke Engineering LTD. as presented. K.D. Benson seconded the motion. The contract between the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board and Christopher B. Burke Engineering LTD. was approved as presented to the Board.

Lewis Jakes Ditch

While researching the status and condition of Jakes Ditch, it was discovered the Drainage Board approved a rate increase from \$1.00 an acre to \$2.00 an acre in April of 1983. Research indicated the present assessment of \$1.00 per acre was never changed accordingly. After conferring with the Board’s attorney, it was agreed the rate of \$2.00 per acre set in the April 1983 meeting was valid. The Surveyor requested a formal vote in order for the increase to be activated by the Auditor’s office. John Knochel made a motion to approve the \$2.00 per acre assessment rate as set in the April 1983 Drainage Board meeting. In addition the said rate be in effect starting with the 2005 tax season. K.D. Benson seconded the motion. The Lewis Jakes Regulated Drain assessment of \$2.00 per acre was formally approved beginning with the 2005 tax season.

Public Comment

As there was no public comment, John Knochel made a motion to adjourn the meeting. KD seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned.

Ruth Shedd, Vice President

John Knochel, Vice President

Brenda Garrison, Secretary

KD Benson, Member

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board

December 9, 2015

Regular Meeting Minutes

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board President David S. Byers, Vice President Tracy Brown, member Thomas P. Murtaugh, County Surveyor Zachariah Beasley, Drainage Board Attorney Doug Masson, Drainage Board Secretary Brenda Garrison and Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger from Christopher B. Burke Engineering LLC. Evan Warner-G.I.S. Technician and James Butcher-Project Manager, both with the Surveyor Office, were also in attendance.

Approval of Minutes

Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to approve the October 4, 2015 Regular Meeting minutes and the October 4, 2015 #12 Box Hearing minutes as written. Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion carried.

AEP Dequine Station

Mr. Pete Siglow of Wightman and Associates Inc. appeared before the Board to present the AEP (American Electric Power) DeQuine Station. The site was located on the north side of C.R. 350 North and Hoover Rd. intersection and south of US 52. An expansion of the existing Substation would include the construction of a detention basin on approximately 8 acres of the 52 acres overall. Currently the site's stormwater sheet flows across C.R.350 North to the Martin Edwin Drain as there was no existing outlet. Onsite stormwater would be directed to the planned detention basin which- via a new private tile- outlet into an existing open ditch south of the facility and located alongside C.R. 600 West. The Martin Edwin Regulated Drain Easement located south of the project site would not be disturbed. The size of the private tile would be 24inch. Approval from the adjoining landowner south of the site for the new tile installation crossing their tract was currently in the works. The landowners were out of town at this time. Approval for an easement to cross the adjoining property (Benson) with the new private tile was anticipated to be signed upon their return. He noted he was in the process of working with the Hwy. Dept. for their approval as well. A 3 year maintenance bond would be submitted as well for the project. He requested approval at that time. The Surveyor stated his office and Mr. Siglow had worked closely together on this project. He stated this site was originally developed in the late 50's or early 60's. There was no Drainage Board Ordinance at that time. He specified the outlet route for the site was located on the south central portion of property and routed to C.R. 350 North traveling west and then south along C.R. 600 West to the south side of Jackson Hwy on the east side of C.R. 600 West approximately 1000 feet into the open roadside ditch. Per drainage calculations a 15inch pipe would be required; however a 24inch tile would be installed to handle any lateral hookups it may come in contact with. He stated he had spoken with the adjoining landowners (Benson's) and at this time had no objections to the plan. He informed Mr. Siglow before he signed off on the project; the adjoining landowner's recorded easement must be submitted to his office. He stated he approved of the Maintenance Agreement as submitted and recommended approval with the conditions for the project as stated on the November 24, 2015 Burke memo. There was no public comment.

Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the AEP DeQuine Station project with the conditions as stated on the November 24, 2015 Burke memo. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Lindberg Village Phase 6

Patrick Cunningham of Vester and Assoc. appeared before the Board for approval of Lindberg Village Phase 6 Subdivision. He noted this was before the Board in February of 2011 and since then the design has changed. This section was bid previously however those bids came back high and it was not feasible at that time to proceed. He stated this project was a continuation of the existing Lindberg Village development. The site was located on approximately 7.78 acres north of the intersection of Lindberg and Klondike Roads on the west side of Klondike Road. Due to the delay redesign was warranted. Consequently, this phase of the development's runoff would be routed through vegetative swales and storm sewers to the existing 42 inch outlet in the northwest corner of the development then to the existing detention pond within the development. He requested approval for Phase 6 Lindberg Village project. The Surveyor reiterated this particular phase of the project was previously approved with conditions during the February 11, 2015 meeting of the Drainage Board. Since that time a change in the design has caused it to be submitted for review once again. A change to the 2011 approved variance was in order as well. He then recommend approval of the revised variance in addition to the project with the conditions as stated on the December 1, 2015 Burke memo. There was no public comment.

Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to grant approval for the revised Variance as stated in the Dec. 1, 2015 Burke memo. Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion carried. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to approve Lindberg Village Phase 6 with the conditions as stated on the Dec 1, 2015 Burke memo Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Liquid Springs

Randy Peterson of Starr & Associates appeared before the Board to request approval for Liquid Springs Subdivision. This site was located within the J.N. Kirkpatrick Drainage Impact Area. The site consisted of approximately 9.33 acres and specifically located on the southeast corner of US 52 and C.R. 400 South. This was the first phase of a planned project's production facility, drives and parking areas. Along with the building of the facility, drives and parking lot, the first phase would include a wet detention basin and storm sewer infrastructure. The project site's runoff flowed southeast to northwest to the ditch along US52 (within the right of way) through an existing 18" culvert under US52. A variance from the 0.13 cfs rate was requested. He then requested conditional approval of the project. INDOT had approved the release into the right of way of US52. There were no public comments.

The Surveyor stated his office had reviewed the downstream landowner's area and did not feel the variance would cause any problems. He recommended approval of the variance and approval of the project with conditions as stated on the Dec. 4, 2015 Burke memo. Tracy Brown made a motion to grant the variance as stated on the December 4, 2015 Burke memo. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried. Tracy Brown made a motion to grant approval with the conditions as stated on the December 4, 2015 Burke memo. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Responding to Commissioner Byer's inquiry, the Surveyor discussed future plans for the extension of the JN Kirkpatrick Urban Drain. The planned design was to extend the existing open ditch (located south of the new GE business to east side of 450East) to the west side of US52, then (within the right of way) parallel US52 heading southeast approximately ¼ mile to the property line between Lafayette Urban Railroad and Mr. Robert Peabody. A 60inch pipe would be bored under US52 and head due east to CR. 500 East across Ichiya property and stop on the east side of CR.500East on a Mr. John Rice property. Landowner Agreement contracts were being worked on currently for the right of way easements etc. He stated he hoped weather permitting- work to be completed in 2016 and to hold a March 2016 Landowner Hearing.

Other Business

The Surveyor presented a Petition to Reduce the Drainage Easement on the Baker Dempsey Regulated Drain submitted by TBird Designs regarding the south side of the Soleado Vista Subdivision. He stated he had reviewed the petition and recommended approval. He noted this was a cleanup item from previous Board approval of the project. There was no public comment. Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the Petition to Reduce the Baker Dempsey Regulated Drain Easement as submitted. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Bonds

The Surveyor presented the following Maintenance Bonds for approval by the Board:
Maintenance Bond #106382994 submitted by Fairfield Contractors for Meadowgate Subdivision Sec. 4 in the amount of \$3,025.60 and written by Travelers Insurance Group and Maintenance Bond #69C004261 submitted by Gild Dev. LLC for Gild Estates Subdivision in the amount of \$2,954.00 and written by Ohio Casualty Insurance. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to accept Maintenance Bond #106382994 submitted by Fairfield Contractors for Meadowgate Subdivision Sec. 4 in the amount of \$3,025.60 and written by Travelers Insurance Group and Maintenance Bond #69C004261 submitted by Gild Dev. LLC for Gild Estates Subdivision in the amount of \$2,954.00 and written by Ohio Casualty Insurance. Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion carried.

2016 Drainage Board Meeting Dates

Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to approve the meeting dates as presented to them by the secretary. Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion carried. The meeting dates for 2016 are as follows: January 6, 2016-February 3, 2016-March 2, 2016-April 6, 2016-May 4, 2016-June 1, 2016-July 6, 2016-August 3, 2016-September 7, 2016-October 5, 2016-November 2, 2016-December 7, 2016

Reconstruction Hearing Request/J.N. Kirkpatrick Regulated Drain

The Surveyor requested the Board set the landowner hearing date for March 2, 2016 regarding the extension of the existing open ditch known as the James N. Kirkpatrick Regulated Drain. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to set a March 2, 2016 landowner reconstruction hearing for the JN Kirkpatrick Regulated Drain Reconstruction. Tracy Brown seconded the motion. Motion Carried. The hearing would immediately follow the regular scheduled meeting of the board that day.

Public Comment


There was no public comment. Tracy Brown made a motion to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned.




David S. Byers, President



Tracy Brown, Vice President



Brenda Garrison, Secretary



Thomas P. Murtaugh, Member

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board
September 7, 2016
Regular Meeting Minutes

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board President Tracy Brown, Vice President Thomas P. Murtaugh, member David S. Byers, Surveyor Office Project Manager James Butcher (proxy for Surveyor), Drainage Board Attorney Doug Masson, Drainage Board Secretary Brenda Garrison and Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger from Christopher B. Burke Engineering LLC. Evan Warner-G.I.S. Technician with the Surveyor Office was also in attendance. County Surveyor Zachariah Beasley was absent.

Approval of Minutes

Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to approve the August 3, 2016 Drainage Board minutes. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Concord Ridge Subdivision

Robert Langager from Schneider Corp. appeared before the Board to request approval for Concord Ridge Subdivision project. The site was located between Co. Rd. 450South (north side) and Co. Rd. 500South (south side) and consisted of approximately 70.4 acres. He noted the project was located across from the Roberts Ridge Subdivision. The site's runoff was routed onsite north and south through storm sewers and vegetated swales to two detention basins. One of the detention basins was located in the northern portion as a wet detention basin and one in the southern portion as a dry detention basin. The drainage plan routed the tract's southern portion runoff to the Ilgenfritz Branch of the Dismal Creek Regulated Drain. The northern portion ultimately outlet to the J.N. Kirkpatrick Regulated Drain and was located within the J.N. Kirkpatrick Drainage Impact Area; therefore this portion's runoff rate was more restrictive. The southern portion of the site was not under the restrictive runoff rates as it was not located within the said impact area. He presented the Petition to Encroach on the Ilgenfritz Branch of the Dismal Creek Drain to be approved as well by the Board. He then requested approval of this project. Project Manager James Butcher (proxy for Surveyor Beasley) informed the Board a variance to the runoff rate for the northern portion of the site was requested as well. Mr. Langager agreed. Mr. Langager noted the variance was related to the allowable release rates to the J.N. Kirkpatrick Ditch during the 10 and 100 year storm events. The projects planned drainage would lower the release rates which would directly impact Roberts Ridge Subdivision. Mr. Butcher reviewed the project site and plans utilizing the G.I.S. site for the Board and attendees. He recommended approval by the Surveyors office for the Petition to Encroach on the Ilgenfritz Branch of the Dismal Creek Drain. He recommended approval of the requested variance as recommended in the September 6, 2016 Burke memo. He stated the Surveyors office recommended approval with the conditions noted on the September 6, 2016 Burke memo for Concord Ridge Subdivision.

Tracy Brown asked for public comment on this project. Pam Roberts 3741 East 500South Lafayette Indiana 47909 approached the Board. Ms. Roberts stated the following: "my name is Pam Roberts, my little bitty subdivision is in the southeast corner across the road from said property and I would like to make the Board aware of the terrible drainage condition that continues to exist and has existed forever. Now what you see in the southeast corner is the low spot in that area. (Topographical) Now I have some pictures here to give you some idea of what's going on and what has been going on, if I may." (She presented pictures to the Board at that time.) "I have numbered these pictures and I will explain what they are. To note if you do not live in that area, you are not aware of these problems. This property has been farmed for I don't know how long and they put in a drainage tile without the County's approval. It seriously flooded my property for years. I continued to complain and got no resolution, because the ditching was terribly inadequate. Another problem you have is the water table is at 11 feet, which you don't know unless you live there and try to put in a basement. Now I don't know if they are going to put in a pond there in the southeast corner which was originally suggested to do, if that is still on the table I don't know." Project Manager James Butcher stated "It is. There will be a pond in the southeast corner of the development and that would outlet to a ditch which they are going to build that will take the water straight down" Pam Roberts stated "Right beside me, yes see what happens when you try to put in a pond when the water table is 11 feet?" Dave Eichelberger Engineer Consultant to the Board stated "It is going to be a dry pond as it is not going to be a wet pond" Pam Roberts stated "It will be wet when you dig down 11 feet you are going to hit water." Mr. Eichelberger stated they would not be digging down 11 feet. He noted there would be excavation and berming up at the site t nowhere near 11 feet. James Butcher stated they are not really digging down as they are more digging it back. At the south end it will have similar elevation and then they will peel back at the north end and get the storage that way. Pam Roberts's response:" Do you understand what the grade is on that property?" (Mr. Butcher responded- yes) I don't know if you have seen it, but I have a picture of the grade in there. Like picture #1 gives you a sense of the grade of the property and tells you how steep it is and how much water flows out of there.

#2 is the existing ditch which runs along the property which is very shallow as you can see in the picture. #3 Shows you the ah, I circled the drainage tile that was put in. That seriously caused problems as you can see by the picture of my driveway. It seriously floods every time it rains because of the amount of water that comes off that property and this is just the south half of the property- this isn't the whole property. This is just the amount of water that comes off the south half- which is a tremendous amount of water. #4 shows how far they dug the ditch to help, but they only ran the ditch through the Driscol's property which is next door to this. It ended at the Pilote property which is past the Driscol's. The ditch stops and it drains into the field next to me. Well when that water drains into the field It runs to the back of my property and floods down there. So everything they've done so far has not solved problems it just created more problems. That's my point. There is not much thought or engineering and I don't know you're just not aware of the volume of water that's produced on that half of the property. That's a lot of water; this is my driveway, when it rains." Thomas Murtaugh asked which direction the picture was taken from. Pam Roberts stated" facing south- because we are on the low spot of that area. So all the drainage water comes on to my property and now they put it into the field next to me and then you can see in the back of that picture that there is standing water. Well that water drains into the back and I tried to put in a garden, floods it every year- no garden." Thomas Murtaugh asked James Butcher if the ditch which runs down to Dismal Creek along the west side of her property- is it existing. Mr. Butcher stated no it would be created. He stated he did not see any way this would NOT help her situation. He reviewed the drainage infrastructure and plans for the project area for the board in more detail. There would be a pond which catches runoff to the north and reduces it down to the allowable release rates (which are the rates prior to development) and piped to a ditch west of her property. He explained the site's runoff would be caught in a pond and reduced to a pre-developed rate then outlet into a 24 feet wide and two feet deep ditch on the west side of the Roberts property and ultimately to Dismal Creek and noted there would be a big improvement in the drainage of that area. He explained pre developed and post develop runoff to the attendees. David Eichelberger Engineer Consultant interjected the runoff rate will be less than the pre-developed rate after the drainage infrastructure is completed. Pam Roberts responded:" But you see what I'm saying is your assumptions on the pre-existing drainage was wrong because you have been flooding me for years and I have been complaining about it. I have called dozens of times, I've asked to come to the meetings. I went to the area plan commission meeting and was told I was at the wrong place- you got to go to Drainage Board." James Butcher responding to Commissioner Murtaugh's inquiry stated it was not part of a regulated drain. Pam Roberts stated" No its not part of it, the ditch in front was adequate up until till the time he put in the drainage tile. Then that ditch could no longer handle that flow at all." Thomas Murtaugh stated this would significantly help her situation. Pam Roberts responded" According to what your engineers say, but I am saying when they came and finally said they resolve the problem by putting in a ditch. The ditch they put in was still inadequate because they figured it on allowable rates. Well you know that's not scientifically, well their figures were wrong, because it still was not enough. It just moved it from this corner to the next driveway where it ran into the field on the east side of my property and flooded there. I mean it just creates; it just pushes the problem somewhere else. I don't know that two feet, if it's maintained and cleared at two feet continually without allowing the overgrowth and everything else and the buildup that it will be adequate five years from now. I don't want to be back here complaining again because you know your engineers were not or the ditch wasn't maintained. I know that the ditch being where it's at is not going to be maintained because it going to have to go across several fence rows and everything else that is already existing there. That's my point. I want this to not be a problem that comes again and again and again. One of the problems with that property that was farmed was that there is an area that he does not farm because it is continually mush- it holds water alright- which is why he put in the drainage tile. Well the problem is the water table is really high there obviously or it would not be such mush that he couldn't farm it. I am just not sure that you people are aware of all the problems that already exist with this property." Responding to Tracy Brown's inquiry- Ms. Roberts stated her problems started after the drainage tile was put in, before this the water would stay on that property. He asked how long ago was that put in and Ms. Roberts stated 5-6 years ago. He then stated that was a private tile and the Board had no jurisdiction over it. Pam Roberts said" Yes but aren't they supposed to not put in a tile without approval without knowing if the ditch will hold the flow?" James Butcher stated in a County Regulated Ditch, but this is not a county regulated ditch. Pam Roberts stated" So anybody can put in a tile and flood anybody and it makes no difference, hmm interesting." Thomas Murtaugh stated he thought she would see a real benefit from this. Pam Roberts stated" Well are they going to remove the drainage tile or plug it? Robert Langager stated any tile uncovered would be tied in to their system. Pam Roberts stated" Yeah but that's years away" Mr. Langager noted he would have to know the location of the tile in question to tie it into the system. He noted the southern half runoff coming from the project site tract would be routed to the west of her property. Pam Roberts stated" I would like to have the drainage tile plugged or removed right away so that it stops flooding. I mean this development in the southern portion is not going to take place immediately. It's going to take years to get to that point. Correct?" Ron Whistler -project developer stated she is correct it will be years before the last phase of the development construction is started. Pam Roberts stated" Three to five years would be my estimate so in the meantime I would like to have something done about that drainage tile." Thomas Murtaugh stated it was a private drain and the Drainage Board had no authority over it. Pam Roberts stated "so what do I have to do, sue the owner???" Pam Roberts stated she had not spoken with the owner as "She thought the county put in all the ditches" James Butcher suggested the Surveyor office could contact the current owner of the tract and try to work something out /find resolution for her. Pam Roberts stated: "Sure but the problem I have is I left my name and number with Boards

before and no one has ever contacted me” Responding to Tracy Brown’s inquiry she stated as he motioned to the Secretary “I left it with her”. (Note: The Surveyor’s Office Project Manager James Butcher discussed this issue with her prior to today) James Butcher stated the Surveyor office could possibly facilitate conversation between her and the developer of the site. Pam Roberts stated m” My number is 426-7339. My error was in believing anyone that put in a drainage tile had to get approval by the County to make to make sure they would not be flooding anybody, wrong” James Butcher stated this was a private issue which the Surveyor Office has no authority over, however they can try and make suggestions for possible solutions but that is all about we can do in this situation.” Pam Roberts stated” I just keep thinking my God 160 homes all that asphalt is going to really increase my drainage problems.” James Butcher emphasized he was confident it would not and a big part of the design is to make sure that does not happen. He stated he was confident it would help noticeably. Tracy Brown noted the intent of the Board was first to follow the law and second to leave a neighborhood better off. He continued - the Board has no authority over the private tile, a meeting could certainly be facilitated between the parties for an amicable solution. James Butcher stated he would contact Mrs. Roberts in the near future. Pam Roberts stated: “Alrighty, Thank you”

Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to grant acceptance of the Petition to Encroach on the Ilgenfritz Branch of the Dismal Creek Regulated Drain. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to grant approval of the variance as recommended. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to grant approval of the Concord Ridge Subdivision with conditions as listed on the September 6, 2016 Burke memo. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Petition to Vacate Br. #9- S.W. Elliott Regulated Drain #100

Project Manager James Butcher presented a Petition to Vacate Branch #09 of the S.W. Elliott #100 Regulated Drain. He noted the vacation would not affect landowners other than those that submitted the Petition. He informed the Board there could be agricultural tiles (unknown locations) that tie into this branch. As a result, the Surveyor’s office recommends the approval of said petition to be vacated only at which time development construction begins. David Byers made a motion to approve the Petition to Encroach as presented with the condition that the tile will not be removed until development starts construction. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Petition to Encroach –S.W. Elliott #100 Regulated Drain/J. Sedam

Project Manager James Butcher presented the Petition to Encroach on the S.E. Elliott #100 Regulated Drain submitted by James Sedam. The encroachment would allow enough space for future maintenance of said drain. The Surveyor’s office recommended approval to the Board. David Byers made a motion to grant approval for the Petition to Encroach on the S.W. Elliott #100 Drain as presented by the Project Manager. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Petition to Establish a Maintenance Fund /John McGlaughlin Tri-County Regulated Drain

Project Manager James Butcher presented a Petition to Establish a Maintenance Fund on the John McGlaughlin Regulated Tri-County Drain. He noted this was a tri-county drain with Clinton and Montgomery Counties and requested the Board refer it for a Surveyor’s Maintenance Report. David Byers made the motion to refer the Petition to Establish a Maintenance Fund for the John McGlaughlin Regulated Tri-County Drain to the Surveyor for a maintenance report. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Bonds:

Soleado Vista Phase 1 Subdivision/Maint. Bond#S001-3914

Roberts Ridge Phase 3 Subdivision/ Maint. Bond##106537515

Southern Winds Apts. Phase 1 & Phase 2 Subdivision /Maint. Bond#1052750

Project Manager James Butcher presented the following Maintenance Bonds for approval by the Board: Maintenance Bond #S001-3914 in the amount of \$27,756.03 submitted by R&W Contracting and written by Allied World Specialty Insurance ; Maintenance Bond #106537515 in the amount of \$7,274.50 submitted by Fairfield Contractors Inc. and written by Travelers and Surety Insurance; Maintenance Bond #1052750 in the amount of \$18,530.15 submitted by Atlas Excavating Inc. and written by Hanover Insurance. Thomas Murtaugh made a motion to approve the maintenance bonds as presented by the Surveyor. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Reconstruction Projects Update

Project Manager James Butcher gave an overview of the reconstruction projects the Surveyor’s office had completed since 2010. He noted there were ten (10) agricultural drains reconstructed. He stated there were some issues receiving reconstruction assessment monies from adjoining counties on joint reconstruction projects. The Surveyor’s Office is working to obtain the assessment monies. Warren, Benton and Montgomery Counties have been contacted and are in the process of obtaining the assessments. Warren and Benton County’s stated they will send payment this week. Montgomery County Assessments are currently pending. There was a total of \$78,000 of various reconstruction assessments

not received to date by this County. There were ten landowners in Tippecanoe County that had not paid anything to date on various projects. There were a couple instances where the five year collection period as required by Indiana Drainage Code was over. The Surveyor requests the Board take this into consideration and come to an agreement of how to proceed in these cases. Responding to David Byers inquiry, James Butcher noted he was not sure if they had paid their property taxes but thought so as the properties were not on tax sale. Responding to Thomas Murtaugh's inquiry, the secretary stated the reconstruction assessment billing is a separate process from property tax billing. Drain Maintenance assessment is included on property tax bills, reconstruction assessments are not. The billing is sent out and collected by the Treasurer office; however it is totally separate from property tax billing. Attorney Doug Masson stated he would instruct Atty. Matthew Salsbury to conduct research on legal avenues available for collection in these cases. Thomas Murtaugh stated he felt a letter from the Attorney was in order. Attorney Doug Masson stated he thought it was prudent and would need a list of those landowners located in Tippecanoe County only. (Note the secretary will follow up on the list of names to the Attorney) Project Manager James Butcher informed the Board currently there was approximately 1.1 million dollars in the General Drain Improvement Fund. He stated 1 million dollars of this total was committed for this year's projects. He informed the Board the Surveyor would request 1.5 million from the County Council in the coming week for 2017 drainage projects. The Project Manager stated the concern is that some of the reconstruction assessments would not be repaid in a timely manner. This would cause a lower balance in the General Drainage Improvement Fund which in turn would put a halt to future projects as planned.


Martin Erwin Regulated Drain #28


Project Manager James Butcher requested a landowner hearing date on the Martin Erwin #28 Regulated Drain to increase the maintenance assessment from the Board. He asked to hold the hearing on November 2, 2016 immediately after the regular scheduled meeting that day. David Byers made the motion to set the Martin Erwin Regulated Drain #28 landowner Maintenance Rate Hearing on November 2, 2016 to begin immediately after the regular scheduled meeting that day. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.


Public Comment

As there was no public comment, David Byers made a motion to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned.


Tracy Brown, President


Thomas P. Murtaugh, Vice President


David S. Byers, Member


Brenda Garrison, Secretary

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board

November 2, 2016

Martin Erwin #28 Regulated Drain Landowner Maintenance Hearing

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board President Tracy Brown, Vice President Thomas P. Murtaugh, member David S. Byers, County Surveyor Zachariah Beasley, Drainage Board Attorney Doug Masson and Drainage Board Secretary Brenda Garrison. Evan Warner-G.I.S. Technician and James Butcher-Project Manager, both with the Surveyor Office, were also in attendance.

President Tracy Brown opened the hearing and thanked those for attending. He referred to the Surveyor to read his Maintenance Report regarding #28 Martin Erwin Regulated Drain. The Surveyor read the report as follows: Maintenance Report Martin V. Erwin #28 Regulated Drain September 21, 2016 The Martin Erwin Drain per records found in the Surveyor's office was established and constructed per Surveyor's Drainage Record I Act 1889 Page 35. The Drain and its watershed are located in Section 5 of Township 23 North and Range 5 West in the political township of Shelby, Tippecanoe County, Indiana. There are zero (0) lineal feet of open ditch and 1900 lineal feet of tile under maintenance. The tile outlets immediately south of Jackson Highway and terminates on the north side of Co. Rd. 350 North. The watershed contains 56.75 acres. The Martin Erwin drain maintenance fund was established by the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board on the 6th day of June, 1972. It is the judgement of the Tippecanoe County Surveyor that a sum of \$13,200.00 is needed to maintain and improve the existing tile system. An assessment of \$27.00 per acre and \$20.00 minimum over an eight (8) year period is recommended. This will generate \$13,395.56 over an eight (8) year period. Afterward the maintenance rate shall be lowered to \$10.00 per acre and a \$10.00 minimum with an eight (8) year cap. It is the professional recommendation of the Tippecanoe County Surveyor that this rate increase is necessary to accomplish the desired tile maintenance work requested by landowners in the watershed. It will also ensure that monies will be generated for future maintenance work on this drainage system. Respectfully Submitted by: Zachariah E. Beasley P.S., M.S. Tippecanoe County Surveyor

The Surveyor clarified the following: Based off the records, this is the smallest watershed existing in Tippecanoe County at this time. (56.75 acres) The watershed was originally setup with approximately 68-72 acres in 1972. The reason he reduced the acreage was 1. American Electric Power (AEP- previously known as Indiana Michigan Power) substation tract located immediately north of Co. Rd. 350 North previously included additional acreage. Since that time they have expanded and were required to provide additional storage per the existing Stormwater Quality Ordinance. Therefore a detention basin was constructed on their site which captured water which previously was discharge via the Erwin drain. A new pipe was installed which crossed Co. Rd. 350 North and ran along the south side to the west of the intersection of Co. Rd. 350 North and Co. Rd. 650 West. It continued on the east side of Co. Rd. 650 West traveling south past Jackson Highway and outlet approximately 1000 feet from Jackson Highway. The acreage which was included in the total watershed in 1972 regarding these tracts was taken out due to the construction of said project. 2. Greg Johnson 5617 West 350 North West Lafayette Indiana 47906 met with the Surveyor regarding the benefited acreage charged to his tract. In 1972, the entire tract (once owned by Shackelford) a total of 7.8 acres (as today) was shown to be benefited by the Erwin drain. Mr. Johnson provided the Surveyor with a map indicating the tiling of a portion of his tract which lowered the total acreage benefited by the drain. He noted his office reviewed the tracts drainage looking at the contours, tile map and the natural break based off the contours on the property. The watershed boundary now follows the ridge or the high contours on this tract. There was a bowl area in the southwestern portion of this tract which holds onsite and offsite water runoff from adjoining Rutherford farms. According to the contours when the bowl fills up it breaks to the west into the Erwin watershed area. Since the Johnson tract tiling was completed only a portion is now benefited by the said drain. He stated he felt the previous watershed map was in error and more precise at this time. Responding to Mr. Johnson's inquiry, the Surveyor noted a portion of AEP's benefited acreage was eliminated due to the construction of said onsite detention pond and offsite infrastructure. The existing tile from Jackson Highway northeast to the property boundary line between Rutherford and Wallace tracts was in the direst need of repair. The assessment of \$27.00 per acre was proposed to replace the tile in that area. If additional tiling was warranted in other areas the maintenance assessment would have to be higher. Since the watershed is so small the rate set at this time is pretty high in itself. Therefore if the entire tiling system was to be replaced the per assessment would be much higher than the \$27.00 per acre proposed today for the replacement of the portion indicated. As this process is 100% landowner driven, he noted he was approached by the Rutherford and Benson families to replace a portion of the said tile drain. He explained to Mr. Johnson a project under \$25,000 was allowed to be quoted (3 quotes required) by Indiana Drainage Code and did not have to be bid out. The per acre rate set today could not be raised without holding another landowner hearing. Responding to Mr. Johnson's inquiry, the Surveyor noted his office required tile installed per the manufacturer's specifications. There was no other public comment.

Since notifications of assessments were sent out to the landowners prior to the changes made to the total benefited acreage, an amendment to the assessments was in order. The attorney then read the findings and order from the Board as follows:

Before the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board In the matter of Martin Erwin #28 Regulated Drain Findings and Order (Annual Maintenance): This matter came to be heard upon the maintenance report and schedule of assessments prepared by the Tippecanoe County Surveyor and filed on September 21, 2016. The Certificate of Mailing of notice of time and place of hearing, to all affected landowners was filed. Notice of publication of time and place of hearing in the Journal and Courier, Lafayette Indiana were filed. An objection letter was received on Oct. 25, 2016 from Greg and Deb Johnson / 516 W. 350 N. West Lafayette In. 47906 / St. Key#790605300007000014

Evidence was presented by the Tippecanoe County Surveyor and many of those landowners affected were present. A list of those present is filed herewith. After consideration of all the evidence, the Board does now FIND THAT:

- (1) The maintenance report of the Tippecanoe County Surveyor and schedule of assessments were filed in the office of the Surveyor on September 21, 2016.
- (2) Notice of filing of the maintenance report and the schedule of assessments and their availability for inspection and the time and place of this hearing was mailed to all those landowners affected more than thirty (30) and less than forty (40) days before the date of this hearing.
- (3) Notice of the time and place of this hearing was given by publication in the Journal & Courier newspaper of general circulation in Tippecanoe County, Indiana more than ten (10) days prior to this hearing.
- (4) The legal drain consists of 0 feet of open ditch and approximately 1900 feet of tile. The tile outlets immediately south of Jackson Highway and terminates on north side of Co. Rd. 350 North.
- (5) The present condition of the ditch is in need of repair.
- (6) The ditch needs the following maintenance at present: Tile replacement
- (7) There is now \$0.00 owed to the General Drain Fund for past maintenance on this ditch.
- (8) The ditch drains 56.75 acres total.
- (9) Estimated annual cost of maintenance is \$13,200.00.
- (10) Estimated annual benefits of the land drained exceeds repair and maintenance costs.
- (11) A fund for annual maintenance should be re-established.
- (12) In order to provide the necessary maintenance fund, the annual assessment per acre and lot benefited should be: \$27.00 per acre and a \$20.00 minimum over an eight (8) year period. After the eight (8) year period the rate should be lowered to \$10.00 per acre and a \$10.00 minimum with an eight (8) year cap.
- (13) The assessment list filed herewith should be amended as follows:
 1. St. Key #790605300005000014 Deno Marilyn 5545 West 350N West Lafayette Indiana: Pt E SW Sec 5 Twp23 R5 =1.13 acres benefitted is reduced to 0.57 acres benefited
 2. St. Key # 790605300007000014 Johnson Greg L. Debra A. 5617 West 350N West Lafayette Indiana: Pt SW Sec 5 Twp 23 R5 = 7.8 acres benefited is reduced to 4.89 acres benefited
 3. St. Key# 790605300004000014 Myers Kyle 5529 West 350N West Lafayette Indiana: Pt E1/2 SW1/4 Sec5 Twp 23 R5 = 0.9 acres benefited removed from assessment as 0 acres benefited
 4. Tippecanoe County Highway: County Road Right of Way- Co. Rd. 350 North 1.57 acres benefited is reduced to 1.41 acres benefited

(14) The assessment list filed herewith as amended above is fair and equitable and should be adopted.

(15) The assessment should be collected starting with the May 2017 taxes.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED THAT:


- (1) A maintenance fund be established for the Martin Erwin #28 Regulated Drain at the annual rate of \$27.00 per acre and \$20.00 minimum over an eight (8) year period. Afterward the maintenance rate will be lowered to \$10.00 per acre and a \$10.00 minimum with an eight (8) year cap.
- (2) The Schedule of Assessments filed herewith are adopted and made a part thereof.
- (3) The first annual assessment shall be collected with the May 2017 taxes.

David Byers made a motion to approve the Findings and Order as read by the Attorney. Thomas Murtaugh seconded the motion. Motion carried.


David Byers made a motion to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned.




Tracy Brown, President



Thomas P. Murtaugh, Vice President



Brenda Garrison, Secretary



David S. Byers, Member

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board
February 1, 2017
Regular Meeting Minutes

Those present were:

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board Vice President David S. Byers, member Tracy Brown, County Surveyor Zachariah Beasley, Drainage Board Attorney Doug Masson, Drainage Board Secretary Brenda Garrison and Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger from Christopher B. Burke Engineering LLC. Evan Warner-G.I.S. Technician and James Butcher-Project Manager, both with the Surveyor Office, were also in attendance. President Thomas P. Murtaugh was absent.

Approval of Minutes

Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the January 4, 2017 regular Drainage Board Minutes as written. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Franklin Yoe #90 Regulated Drain/ G. Swanson #76 Regulated Drain Maintenance Bid(s) Opening

David Byers referred to the Attorney for the reading of the submitted bids regarding the Franklin Yoe #90 Regulated Drain and the G. Swanson #76 Regulated Drain Maintenance Projects. Attorney Masson read the following:
Regarding the Gustav Swanson Regulated Drain #76 Maintenance Project the bids were as follows:
Tony Garriott submitted a bid in the amount of \$49,595.80; ADI submitted a bid in the amount of \$14,594.00; Huey Excavating submitted a bid in the amount of \$24,672.00

Attorney Masson recommended the bids be taken under advisement. Tracy Brown made a motion to take the submitted bids under advisement. Once bids were reviewed for compliance by the Surveyor's office Project Manager, the Gustav Swanson #76 Maintenance Project bid could be awarded at the end of the meeting. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Attorney Masson read the Franklin Yoe Regulated Drain #90 Maintenance Project bids as follows:
ADI submitted a bid in the amount of \$18,563.00; Tony Garriott submitted a bid in the amount of \$33,234.56 Attorney Masson recommended the bids be taken under advisement. Tracy Brown made a motion to take the submitted bids under advisement. Once the bids were reviewed for compliance by the Surveyor's office Project Manager, the Franklin Yoe #90 Maintenance Project bid could be awarded at the end of the meeting. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Drainage Board 2017 Professional Engineering Assistance Contract

David Byers referred to the Surveyor regarding presentation of the 2017 Drainage Board Professional Engineering Assistance Contract. Surveyor Beasley noted he as well as Attorney Masson had reviewed the contract. He stated contract's rates had not changed from the past 3-4 years and he saw no additional changes. He recommended approval by the Board. Responding to Tracy Brown's inquiry, the Surveyor stated this was indeed at a cost savings to the county. He had previously in years past reviewed this issue. The cost for the services was approximately \$75,000 annually versus a minimum of \$130,000 cost for the exact work by an office staff member. Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the Drainage Board Engineering Assistance Contract as presented by the Surveyor. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Lafayette YMCA

David Buck from BFS appeared before the Board to present the Lafayette YMCA for drainage approval. The site was located within the City of Lafayette at the existing Point East Mobile Home Park. The Board would review this project today for drainage purposes only. Mr. Buck stated a Petition to reduce the drainage easement on the S.W. Elliott Branch #13 was submitted for approval as well. The reduction in the drain maintenance easement would leave a 30 foot easement for maintenance of said branch. He noted they had received the January 12, 2017 Burke memo and was in agreement with the conditions as noted. He requested approval at that time for both the Petition and the project's drainage.

The Surveyor stated the Board's actions today were to approve the aforementioned Petition and the project's drainage only. He noted the project site drained to Branch #13 of the S.W. Elliott drain and continued southwest along Creasy Lane and eventually to the F-Lake Detention Basin. He recommended approval to the Board for the Petition to Reduce the Easement on the S.W. Elliott Branch #13 Drain as well as approval per the January 12, 2017 Burke memo recommendation. Tracy

presented. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried. Tracy Brown then made a motion to approve the Lafayette YMCA per the January 12, 2017 Burke memo recommendations. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Belle Tire (Lot 4A 26 Crossing Subdivision)

Kyle Betz of Fisher and Associates appeared before the Board to request approval for the Belle Tire project. The site was located within the City of Lafayette and more specifically on Lot 4A in 26 Crossings Subdivision approximately ¼ mile from the interchange of I-65 and SR26. The site consisted of approximately 0.94 acres. This site was adjacent to the Alexander Ross Detention Basin. The site would drain entirely to the F-Lake detention facility. He stated they agreed with the January 25, 2017 Burke memo and requested approval for the project. The Surveyor stated the project had been reviewed and noted calculations were missing from their submittal. David Eichelberger stated calculations for the detention storage were not provided to date and that would need to be provided as soon as possible. The Surveyor agreed with the Consultant and reiterated those calculations should be provided and his recommendations were contingent on this. Mr. Betz agreed to review the report and provide those calculations to the Consultants as soon as possible. Tracy Brown made a motion to grant conditional approval as stated in the January 25, 2017 Burke memo. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

USGS Geological Stream Gages WREC Contract Support

Stan Lambert from Wabash River Enhancement Corp. (WREC) appeared before the Board to request financial and administrative support of the stream gages contract with the USGS Geological Services. He stated he was requesting to share the cost of the USGS Stream Gage Contract with the Tippecanoe County Partnership for Water Quality (TCPWQ). The streams were: Little Wea at Co. Rd. 800S, S.W. Elliott Ditch at old Romney Road and Little Pine Creek at Co. Rd. 850E with the contract covering the period of Jan. 23, 2017 through Sept. 30, 2017. He noted the data collected would be available on the USGS stream monitoring site on an hourly basis. This information was used as part of Water Quality monitoring by WREC and Purdue University. He noted Sara Peel from his office presented this to the TCPWQ and was given approval by their Board to go forward with support. The Surveyor stated he would review the TCPWQ Board minutes as the MS4 Coordinator to confirm the TCPWQ's intention was to contribute up to \$10,000.00 toward the overall cost of the contract. Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the contract amended \$10,000.00 amount as submitted with the condition the Surveyor as MS4 Coordinator confirms the TCPWQ support. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Franklin Yoe#90 Regulated Drain/ G. Swanson #76 Regulated Drain Maintenance Bid(s) Award

Tracy Brown referred to Attorney Masson for the results of the submitted bids on the F. Yoe #90 and G. Swanson #76 Drain Maintenance Projects. Attorney Masson stated the bids were in order and the recommendation was to accept the low bid on each project. Tracy Brown made a motion to grant approval of the bid from ADI regarding the Gustav Swanson #76 and the F. Yoe Regulated Drain #90 Maintenance Projects as the low bidder on each project. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

2017 Classification Report/2017 Drain Assessment Activity Report

The Surveyor presented an active and inactive drain assessment list regarding county regulated drains with maintenance funds for approval by the Board. He reviewed the annual process for the Board. Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the Active Inactive Drain list as submitted by the Surveyor. David Byers seconded the motion. Tracy Brown made a motion to approve the 2017 Classification Report provided by the Surveyor. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Zach Beasley/Other Business

Appointment of Drainage Board member to Tri-County Board

The Surveyor stated he was contacted by Benton County Surveyor David Fisher regarding the Sophia Brumm Joint Drain. The landowners have requested a joint meeting to discuss reconstruction of several lineal feet of the tile within the S. Brumm Drain watershed. The proposed time was February 21, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. at the Benton County Courthouse. An appointment from this Board was requested. David Byers noted there was a Commissioner Meeting at the same date and time. Tracy Brown made a motion to appoint Commissioner David Byers to the Sophia Brumm Tri-County Drainage Board as requested pending a new date and time is set due to conflict. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Outstanding Reconstruction Assessments

The Surveyor informed the Board the five year reconstruction payment cycle was coming to a close on a few of the drain reconstruction projects. With that said there were a few landowners who had not paid any payments during this five year period. His understanding was these properties which had outstanding debt for the reconstruction of a drain should be included in the tax sale. He read Indiana Code 36-9-27-86 i.e. regarding the sale of the property due to outstanding drain

reconstruction assessments and referred to Attorney Masson for his direction. He stated he was seeking a recommendation from the Board to proceed as the code dictates in these situations. He noted financially, the deficit could adversely affect the General Drain Improvement Fund and future drain maintenance and reconstruction projects.

Attorney Masson clarified that only the land affected by the delinquency could be sold, that this was not a personal judgement but a liability which stayed with the land only. He would speak with the Auditor and Treasurer to clarify the issue and start utilizing the process in this county from which the code dictates. A lien on the property not the land would be sold. Attorney Masson would follow up on this issue and those landowners who may be affected by this code. He requested authorization to contact landowners who were affected by this regulation. He stated he would work with both the Treasurer and Auditor to set the process which this County can utilize to automatically go forward with the property lien sale when warranted. There was no public comment.

Tracy Brown made a motion to give authorization to the Attorney to begin the process by sending out delinquent reconstruction assessment letters to those landowners who were delinquent as well as listing them on the tax sale when appropriate. David Byers seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Tracy Brown made a motion to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned.

Below is the Surveyor's 2017 Classification Report less Exhibit A:

Classification of Drains

Per IC 36-9-27-34

February 2017


- 1.) Drains in need of Reconstruction
 - a. Elliott, S.W. (#100)
 - b. J.B. Anderson (#02) (Clarks Hill Portion)
 - c. Edwards (Not Maintained)
 - d. McBeth (Not Maintained)
 - e. F.E. Morin (#57)
 - f. Marion Dunkin (#25)
 - g. Huffman-Weimert (Not Maintained)
- 2.) Hearing and Rates Established in 2011, '12, '13, '14, '15 and 2016
 - a. Michael Binder (#10)
 - b. John Blickenstaff (#11)
 - c. Train Coe (#18)
 - d. Fred Haffner (#34)
 - e. E.F. Haywood (#35)
 - f. Mary Southworth (#73)
 - g. Franklin Yoe (#90)
 - h. Jess Dickens (#91)
 - i. Romney Stock Farm (#109)
 - j. John Hengst (#117)
 - k. Calvin Lesley (#48)
 - l. Audrey Oshier (#60)
 - m. Combs Ditch (#118)
 - n. Leader Newton (#115)
 - o. Thomas Ellis (#27)
 - p. John McFarland (#51)
 - q. Hester Mottsinger (#58)
 - r. J. Kelly O'Neal (#59)
 - s. Franklin Resor (#65)
 - t. Harrison Wallace (#82)
 - u. Eldora K. Lois (#119)
 - v. Frank Kirkpatrick (#45)
 - w. Elijah Fugate (#30)
 - x. Mary McKinney (#52)
 - y. Harrison Meadows (#37)
 - z. Shepherds Point (#121)

- aa. James Kellerman (#42)
 - bb. Alonzo Taylor (#77)
 - cc. Clymer Norris (#122)
 - dd. Crist Fassnacht (#29)
 - ee. Peter Rettereth (#66)
 - ff. Ann Montgomery (#56)
 - gg. Gustav Swanson (#76)
 - hh. Nathaniel W. Box (#12)
 - ii. Lydia Hopper (#124)
 - jj. Amanda Kirkpatrick (#44)
 - kk. John McLaughlin (#97)
 - ll. Martin Erwin (#28)
 - mm. Waples McDill (#85)
- 3.) Urban Drains
(I.C. 36-9-27-68 Urban Drains are classified as in need of Reconstruction)
- a. S.W. Elliott (#100)
 - b. Julius Berlowitz (#8) (Include Filbaum)
 - c. Alexander Ross (#48)
 - d. Cuppy McClure
- 4.) Drains in need of Periodic Maintenance
Please see attached sheet-Exhibit A
- 5.) Insufficient Maintenance Funds
- a. E.W. Andrews (#03)
 - b. Floyd Kerschner (#43)
 - c. F.E. Morin (#57)
 - d. John Saltzman (#70)
 - e. Ray Skinner (#71)
 - f. Abe Smith (#72)
 - g. Joseph Sterrett (#74)
 - h. William Stewart (#75)
 - i. John Toohey (#79)
 - j. John Vannatta (#81)
 - k. Suzanna Walters (#83)
 - l. J.B. Anderson (#02)
 - m. Dismal Creek (#93)
 - n. Moses Baker (#114)
 - o. Grant Cole (#19)
 - p. Shawnee Creek (#94)
 - q. Kirkpatrick One (#96)
- 6.) Proposed Drains for hearing in the near future / Request these drains be referred to Surveyor for preparation of Maintenance Report)
- a. Andrew Brown (#13)
 - b. F.E. Morin (#57)
 - c. Parker Lane (#61)
 - d. John Vannatta (#81)
 - e. Dismal Creek (#93)
 - f. Beutler Gosma (#95)
 - g. Jacob Taylor (#78)
 - h. E.W. Andrews (#03)
 - i. Suzanna Walters (#83)
 - j. Jesse B. Anderson (#02)
 - k. Floyd Kerschner (#43)
 - l. Joe Sterrett (#74)
 - m. Moses Baker (#114)
 - n. Grant Cole (#19)
 - o. Shawnee Creek (#94)
 - p. Kirkpatrick One (#96)
 - q. John Saltzman (#70)

- r. Ray Skinner (#71)
 - s. Abe Smith (#72)
 - t. William Stewart (#75)
 - u. John Toohey (#79)
- 7.) Drain Assessments recommended to be raised 25% starting May 2015
No Maintained Regulated Drains Applicable in 2017
 - 8.) Petition for New Regulated Drain referred to Surveyor
 - a. Huffman Weimert Drain (Town of Buck Creek)
 - 9.) Existing Drains referred to Surveyor for Report
 - a. Julius Berlovitz(#08) (Remaining Phases)
 - b. F.E. Morin (#57)
 - c. Huffman Weimert (Not Maintained)
 - d. Marion Dunkin (#25)
 - 10.) Drain that should be vacated
 - a. That portion of the Felbaum Branch (Part of Julius Berlovitz #08 Regulated Drain) East of County Road 550East

Please see Classification of Drains- Exhibit Aon file in the Tippecanoe County Surveyor office and Office of the Tippecanoe County Auditor

ABSENT




Thomas P. Murtaugh, President



David S. Byers, Vice President



Tracy Brown, Member



Brenda Garrison, Secretary